



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

# An Improved Traffic Signs Recognition and Tracking Method for Driver Assistance System

Sangamesh Bembulge

M.Tech Scholar, Department of ECE, BKIT Bhalki. Dr.C.M.Tavade

Professor Department of ECE, BKIT Bhalki. Hameed Miyan

Professor Department of ECE, BKIT Bhalki.

# Abstract:

We introduce a new computer vision based system for robust traffic sign recognition and tracking. Such a system presents a vital support for driver assistance in an intelligent automotive. Firstly, a color based segmentation method is applied to generate traffic sign candidate regions. Secondly, the HoG features are extracted to encode the detected traffic signs and then generating the feature vector. This vector is used as an input to an SVM classifier to identify the traffic sign class. Finally, a tracking method based on optical flow is performed to ensure a continuous capture of the recognized traffic sign while accelerating the execution time. Our method affords high precision rates under different challenging conditions. We can use hardware equipment for robot means here we are going to give different commands to robot by using matlab for autonomous system.

# I. INTRODUCTION

Traffic signs (TSs) recognition is a main issue for a driver assistance system as it has a dual role to control the road traffic as well as warning and guiding the driver. Serious accidents happen when drivers miss signs due to distractions or psychological state of drivers. Therefore, automated recognition of traffic signs is an important topic for autonomous navigation systems. Such system has to be fast and efficient to detect traffic signs in real-time context and identify them precisely. Moreover, they have to handle complex problems which can hinder detection and recognition effectiveness. These problems include variations in illumination (light levels, twilight, fog, rain, and shadow), motion blur and signs occlusion.

Effectiveness is a key thought, as one misclassified or undetected sign could affect the navigation system.

Actually, the existing systems do not provide a guarantee 100% of accuracy. This has motivates many researchers to improve the performance of traffic signs detection, tracking and recognition in complex conditions and so is the objective of our herein presented method. Hence, we introduced a new method for fast detection, tracking and classification of traffic signs from a moving vehicle in complex conditions. In the detection step, we apply a color based segmentation method to extract the candidate regions of traffic signs. For the classification step, HoG features are applied to encode the detected traffic signs and compute the feature vector. This vector is used as an input to a SVM classifier to identify the traffic sign class. Finally, we track the recognized traffic signs using an optical flow-based method to keep a constant capture of the identified traffic sign. The following section is dedicated to a brief study of traffic sign recognition and tracking process and overviews the existing methods. The outlines of our proposed approach are presented in details in section 3. The experimental findings and results will be detailed in section 4. The last section will wrap up the discussion by providing a conclusion and some reflections on our future research studies.

### II. RELATED WORKS

The recognition of TSs is mainly performed using three steps: detection, classification and tracking. The detection step seeks to reduce the search space and indicate only potential regions which could be recognized as possible TSs.





A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

In the classification step, each of the already detected candidates regions is filtered to decide whether it is a traffic sign or not. As for tracking step, it helps to reduce the time processing of traffic sign while keeping a continuous focus on the classified traffic sign. In this section, we detail existing methods in the literature for TS detection, classification and tracking (Table I).

### A. Traffic Sign Detection

In the detection step, the image is segmented relying on the visual key of traffic signs features such as color and shape. In fact, traffic signs colors represent basic information as the TSs contain bright primary colors that contrast strongly with background environments. Therefore, many methods proceed with a segmentation stage within a specific color space. Typically, the output of a mounted camera is an RGBimage. Whereas, the RGB color space is not suitable for the detection of signs' colors due to its sensitiveness to the illumination variations. Therefore, some authors used a color ratio between the intensity components of RGB, while others used only one RGB component as a reference to detect the sign colors in the image. To reduce the dependency on illumination variation, the Hue Saturation Intensity (HSI) system and HSV has been frequently used.

In contrast, there are methods based on the TS shape which totally ignore color information and focus on shape information from gray scale images. For instance, the technique of local radial symmetry was implemented to detect the points of interest in the TS image. This technique is applied on the gradient of a gray scale image and used a center point votes for circular signs and a line votes for regular polygons. Authors—used the Hough transforms techniques to detect the rectangles, triangle and circles shapes of traffic signs.

### **B.** Traffic Sign Classification

Once the candidate traffic sign regions have been detected, a classifying step is performed to make the decision to keep or reject a candidate region of traffic sign. To ensure a prominent classification, there are training based methods and model-based methods. The training based methods rely on a training phase wherein different artificial techniques, such as Neural and Support Vector Machine, can be applied. They perceive TSs as a global entity whose characteristics and deformations are learned. Indeed, they require some prior knowledge about the TS structure. The training-based methods using the neural networks with their different topologies have been widely exploited. In fact, some authors used a convolution neural network While others applied the radial-based neural networks. The SVM classifier has also been widely employed to identify corresponding TS class. In addition, the Adaboost algorithm has been also used to classify TSs using a set of week classifiers.

Another group of works have based their identification process on TSs models. In fact, the TS region is compared to a set of TSs Template exemplars (models) labeled with discrete class in order to find out the most similar TS class. To perform TSs matching, some comparison metrics are used like the normalized correlation between the templates stored in the database and the potential TS regions.

### C. Traffic Sign Tracking

Different methods were proposed to carry out the tracking step. These methods can be classified into two classes, namely points-based methods based methods. The points-based methods represent the traffic sign in consecutive frames through a point or a set of points. They perform the tracking throw the matching of a set of interest points extracted from the detected traffic sign. They are generally robust to illumination changes and affine transformations. The model-based methods represent the traffic sign appearance by modeling their shape or/and color. The problem is that this shape may not include certain parts of the traffic sign and may include parts of the background. Hence, it highly depends on the traffic signs detection accuracy. Based on the aforementioned advantages of existing approaches, we have defined





A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

the appropriate methods to use in our proposed solution for traffic sign detection, classification and tracking. For the detection step, we opted for a color based methods since it provides a faster focusing on the potential areas of traffic signs. In fact, similar objects to the traffic signs shapes may coexist in the background like windows, mail boxes and cars. Besides, methods based on shapes require robust edge detection algorithm which is not an easy task with a not head-on viewing angle or with low resolution traffic sign capture. For classification step, we used a SVM classifier thanks to its performance in statistical learning theory and robustness already proved in TRS topic. Concerning the tracking step, we performed with a points-based method thanks to its invariance to illumination changes and affine transformations.

# III. OUR PROPOSED TRAFFIC SIGN RECOGNITION AND TRACKING METHOD

In our context of study, we are interested to recognize and track danger and prohibitory traffic signs since they constitute the important cause of accident-prone situations. As Shown in Fig1. our proposed method is composed of two steps: Traffic signs recognition and tracking.




Figure 1: The proposed traffic sign recognition and tracking process.

Relying on our previous introduced lane detection method, we detect the lane limits in the closest regions of the images. Next, these lane limits are used to delimit the region of interest where potential TS may exist.

# A. Traffic Sign Recognition

The traffic sign recognition performs on two steps: Detection and classification.

### 1) Traffic Sign Detection

The traffic signs detection aims to find out the potential road signs regions.

# a) Delimitation of ROITS

Through simple image processing techniques, we create a reduced search mask to perform the detection step and reduce the search effort for these signs. Therefore, we apply a discarding process to reject TSs that belong to other roads. Hence, we applied our proposed algorithm for lane limit detection proposed. Relying on the detected lane limits in the near region (ROIr and ROII) (Fig.2 (a)), we used the right lane limit and the Horizon line (Hz) to draw a quadrilateral on the right side of the image (Fig.2 (b)). This quadrilateral is considered as our new Region Of Interest (ROITS).

## b) Segmentation

In this step, we proceeded with color segmentation within this ROITS. In fact, the measured color of a TS is often a mixture of the TS original color and the added outdoor lighting. Therefore, the color model for TS segmentation should be seemly selected. As it is commonly known, the color used in TSs seeks to capture the human attention.

Therefore, we selected the HSV color space as it is based on human color perception. Indeed, the hue value is invariant to light and shadows variation in daylight. Applying a thresholding on each of HSV component, we segmented the TSs appearing on the ROITS (Fig.3 (a)). Then we apply a closed morphology operation to have more compact areas of interests and eliminate interruptions (Fig.3 (b)).

#### c) Detection:

This step aims to detect the precise location of the TSs. In order to achieve this goal, an analysis of the segmented regions is carried out. Therefore, we labeled the connected regions so that all the connected





A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

candidate pixels are grouping as one potential region (using 8-neighbors). Next, a bounding box characteristic (height, width, area) is calculated for all potential regions.

Thus, we define a set of potential regions  $R = \{R1, R2, ..., RN\}$  where N is the number of potential TSs regions. A several constraint rules based on shape properties are applied to each potential region in order to eliminate region, we checked the following rules:







(a) Lane limit detection

(b) Delimitation of ROITS

Fig. 2. Delimitation of the region of interest (ROI<sub>TS</sub>)





a) HSV thresholding of ROITS

(b) ROITS after closed transformation

Fig. 3. Traffic sign segmentation

- The height and the width of every potential TS region should be greater than 14 and lower than 100.
- The area of every potential TS region has to be greater than 30% and less than 80% of the corresponding minimum bounding box area.
- The rate of height and width of a potential TS should be an interval of [0.5, 1.5] Accordingly, these rules allow reducing the number of potential TS regions which helps accelerating the process and improving the accuracy. These regions are going to be the input of the next classifying step



Fig. 4. Potential Traffic sign detection

### 2) Traffic sign classification

The classification of potential traffic sign regions is a key step since it helps to make a decision to keep or reject a potential traffic sign. To ensure a prominent classification, we applied the Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) operator to extract the HOG feature vector. Next, an SVM classifier is applied relying on the already extracted feature vector.

# a) Feature vector extraction:

The Histograms of Oriented Gradients (HOG) is one of the well-known features for object recognition. The HOG features imitate the visual information processing in the human brain. They are able to deal with local changes of appearance and position. The appearance and shape of local object are often described rather well by the distribution of local gradients intensity or edge detection. Thus, the HOG features are calculated using the orientation histograms of edge intensity in local region. Since that traffic symbols are composed of strong geometric shapes and high-contrast edges that encompass a range of orientations, we find that applying HOG features is suitable in our context of study. In our proposed method, each potential TS region is normalized to  $32\times32$  pixels. Then, the region is divided into  $12\times12$ non-overlapping local regions. The HOG features are extracted from each one of the local region.

Histograms of edge gradients with 9 orientations are calculated from each of 4×4 local cells. These histograms capture local shape properties and are invariant to small deformations. The gradient at each pixel is discredited into one of 9 orientation bins, and each pixel "votes" for the orientation of its gradient. The size of the HOG feature vector (N) is computed using (1).

$$N = \left(\frac{R_{\text{width}}}{M_{\text{width}}} - 1\right) \times \left(\frac{R_{\text{Heigth}}}{M_{\text{Height}}} - 1\right) \times B \times H \tag{1}$$

Where R is the region, M is the cell size, B is the number of cells per block, and H is the number of histograms per cell. The values used were:  $R = 32 \times 32$ ,  $M = 4 \times 4$ , B = 3, and H = 9.





A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

### b) SVM Classifier:

In our study, we are interested to recognize the 25 danger and prohibitory TSs since the reduced concentration on them constitute the major accident-prone situations. To build our TSs recognition system, we have proceeded with SVM classifier thanks to its performance in statistical learning theory. Actually, Support Vector Machine is an efficient technique for classification which carries out an implicit mapping of data into a higher dimensional feature space. Given a training set of labeled examples

 $A = \{(xi, yi), i = 1...n\}$  where xi  $\in$  Rn and yi  $\in$   $\{1, -1\}$ . A new test data x is classified using the decision function f(x) defined by (2):

$$f(x) = \operatorname{sgn}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i y_i K(x_i, x) + b\right)$$
(2)

Where  $\alpha_i$  are the Lagrange multipliers of a dual optimization problem, and K(xi, x) is a kernel function.

Given a nonlinear mapping that embeds input data into feature space, kernels have the form of

$$K(x_i, x_j) = (\phi(x_i), \phi(x_j))$$
(3)

SVM finds a linear separating hyper plane with the maximal margin to separate the training data in feature space. b is the parameter of the optimal hyper plane. Since SVM classifier makes binary decisions, multiclass classification here is accomplished by a set of binary classifiers together with a voting scenario. Thereby, we have represented each TS region by an HOG features vector. Then, a SVM classifier is applied to find out the separating plane that has maximum distance to the closest points (support vector) in the training set. Fig.5 shows results of classifying correctly two traffic signs.



Fig. 5. Classified traffic signs

## **B. Traffic Signs Tracking:**

Once a traffic sign is recognized, we perform a monocular tracking step in order to have a continuous capture of the traffic sign while accelerating the execution time. Since we are in a moving camera context, it is more appropriate to use an optical flow-based method. Thus, we apply the Lucas-Kanade tracker as it has a high performance to find the exact match under illumination. Changes and affine transformation. The bounding box which involves the detected TS includes a set of interest points that we extract using Harris detector. For each interest point, the tracker searches for the matching point in the next frame within a padded region around the TS location in the previous frame.

In order to evaluate the performance of our proposed method, we carried out a series of experiments on the "German Traffic Sign Detection Benchmark (GTSDB)" data set which is composed of 51.839 images assessed in 43 classes. We have selected redbordered traffic sign images which show deformation due to viewpoint variation, occlusion due to obstacles like trees, building etc., natural degrading and weather conditions. We evaluate the performance of our method with the most known ones in the literature in order to demonstrate the advantages of our proposed techniques. For this evaluation, we proceeded in two steps by applying a qualitative and a quantitative evaluation.

#### A. Qualitative Evaluation:

For this evaluation, we compared our solution with the method proposed by Long et al (Method A) which had proved its performance in real time environment condition. We have implemented it according to their corresponding manuscript. We illustrate in Fig. 6 the recognition results of the two methods on some images illustrating different conditions. The first column describes the different environment conditions; the second column illustrates the original images; and the following columns illustrate successively the TS recognition results obtained by our proposed method and Method A.





A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

The methods give good detection classification results in normal conditions where the texture of the TS is clearly discriminated from the texture of the background (Fig. 6 (a and b)). They also give good results in the case of faded and furthest TS during a foggy day (Fig. 6 (g)). The robustness of our method compared to Method A with regard to the considered examples is seen in frames presenting a combination of strong shadow, intense illumination and complex background where the TS are faded and occluded (Fig. 6 (c and f)). These performances are obtained thanks to the efficiency of our Hog feature vector descriptor. As discussed previously, Hog feature are able to deal with local changes of appearance and position of TS. In fact, traffic symbols are composed of strong geometric shapes and highcontrast edges that encompass a range of orientations, thus we find that applying HOG features is suitable to deal with challenging road conditions. Thus, according to this evaluation, our method overcomes the majority of the road and weather challenges. Nevertheless, the detection and classification fail in some critical situations such as the presence of intense rain and confusion of the TS's texture with the background (Fig. 6 (h)).

	Original Image	Our Method	Method A
Normal condition multiple TS (SL,TD)			
Furthest and blurred TS (P)		-4	-4
Intense illumination and complex Bg, furthest and fided TS (P)		M. E	V. S
Intense illumination, strong shadow and complex Bg, occluded multiple TS (TD, P)	<b>L</b> d		N. C.
Intense illumination, strong shadow and complex Bg, occluded multiple TS (SL, TD)	N. A.	den	
Cloudy day, complex Bg, furthest, blurned TS (P)			
Foggy day, faded And furthest TS (P)			
Same texture appearance of furthest TS and Bg	17	T	T

#### **B.** Quantitative Evaluation

In order to further evaluate our traffic sign recognition method, we first compared its performance with Method A in terms of Recall, Precision and F-measure. The performance measure of the two methods is given in Figure 7. We note that our method gave an average improvement of 2.53% in the Recall rate, 3.56% in the Precision rate, and 3.12% in the F-measure rate. Remember that when a TS is recognized, we perform a tracking step to follow it in the next frames using Lukas- Kanade detector. Fig. 8 illustrates our tracking process. We notice that the Harris features which characterize the detected TS are efficiently matched from one frame to another. Such tracking step helps to reduce the time processing in the following frames.




Fig. 7. Comparative performance evaluation of the two methods



Fig. 8. Traffic sign tracking

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we introduced a new method for recognition and tracking of traffic signs dedicated for an automatic traffic assistance system. Potential traffic signs regions are detected, then classified using HOG features and a linear SVM classifier. Afterwards, we keep tracking traffic sign so as to have a continuous





A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

capture of the traffic sign while accelerating the execution time. The proposed system shows good recognition rate under complex challenging lighting and weather conditions. As future work, we aim to experiment other feature descriptors and classifiers as well as comparing the performance of our method with the most recent methods.

### **REFERENCES**

- [1] S. Karungaru, H. Nakano and M. "Fukumi, Road Traffic Signs Recognition Using Genetic Algorithms and Neural Networks", International Journal of Machine Learning and Computing, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2013, pp. 313-317.
- [2] B. Morris and M. Trivedi, "Vehicle iconic surround observer: Visualization platform for intelligent driver support applications," in Proc. IEEE IV Symp., 2010, pp. 168---173.
- [3] S. K. Berkaya, H. Gunduz, O. Ozsen, C. Akinlar and S. Gunal, "On circular traffic sign detection and recognition", Journal of Expert Systems with Applications, Volume 48, 2016, pp. 67–75.
- [4] A. de la Escalera, L. E. Moreno, M. A. Salichs, and J. M. Armingol, "Road traffic sign detection and classification," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 44, no. 6, 1997, pp. 848–859.
- [5] Y. Han; K. Virupakshappa and E. Oruklu, "Robust traffic sign recognition with feature extraction and k-NN classification methods," in IEEE International Conference on Electro/Information Technology(EIT), 2015, pp.484–488.
- [6] F. Mariut, C. Fosalau, M. Avila, D. Petrisor, "Detection and recognition of traffic signs using Gabor filters," in Telecommunications and Signal Processing (TSP), 2011, pp.554-558
- [7] Y. Gu, T. Yendo, M. Tehrani, T. Fujii, and M. Tanimoto, "Traffic sign detection in dual-focal active

- camera system," in Proc. IEEE IV Symp, 2011, pp. 1054–1059.
- [8] Z. Malik and I. Siddiqi, "Detection and Recognition of Traffic Signs from Road Scene Images," in Frontiers of Information Technology (FIT), 2014, pp.330–335.
- [9] R. Qian, B. Zhang, Y. Yue, Z. Wang, C. Frans, "Robust chinese traffic sign detection and recognition with deep convolutional neural network," in Natural Computation (ICNC), 2015, pp.791–796.
- [10] M. M. Lau, K. Ha. Lim and A. A. Gopalai, "Malaysia traffic sign recognition with convolutional neural network," in Digital Signal Processing (DSP), 2015, pp.1006–1010.
- [11] C. Liu, F. Chang and Z. Chen, "High performance traffic sign recognition based on sparse representation and SVM classification," in Natural Computation (ICNC), 2014, pp.108–112.
- [12] C. Long, C, L. Qingquan, L. Ming, L., and M. Qingzhou, "Traffic sign detection and recognition for intelligent vehicle". In IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium(IV), 2011.
- [13] A.U. Peker, O. Tosun, H. L. Akin and T. Acarman, "Fusion of map matching and traffic sign recognition," in Intelligent Vehicles Symposium Proceedings, 2014, pp.867–872.
- [14] N.B. Romdhane, M.Hammami., and H.Ben-Abdallah,."A Lane Detection and Tracking Method for Driver Assistance System", in Knowledge-Based and Intelligent Information and Engineering Systems, 2011, pp. 407-417.
- [15] R. C. Gonzalez and R. E. Woods, "Digital Image Processing". Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley, 1993.
- [16] V. Andrey, V and J. Kang Hyun, "Automatic Detection and Recognition of Traffic Signs using

ISSN No: 2348-4845



# International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research

A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

Geometric Structure Analysis," in SICE-ICASE, 2006, pp. 1451-1456.

- [17] P. Felzenszwalb, D. McAllester and D. Ramanan, "A discriminatively trained, multiscale, deformable part model," inComputer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2008, pp.1-8.
- [18] V. N. Vapnik, Statistical Learning Theory, Wiley, New York (1998)
- [19] www.ranker.com/list/10-most-ignored-road-signs/tnorth2112
- [20] B. Lucas and T. Kanade, "An iterative image registration technique with an application to stereo vision". The International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligent, 1981, pp. 674–679.
- [21] S. Houben, J. Stallkamp, J. Salmen, M. Schlipsing and C. Igel, "Detection of traffic signs in real-world images: The German Traffic Sign Detection", in International Joint Conference on Neural Networks, no. 1288, 2013.
- [22] J. Gong, Y. Jiang, G. Xiong, C. Guan, G. Tao and H. Chen, "The recognition and tracking of traffic lights based on color segmentation and CAMSHIFT for intelligent vehicles", Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV), 2010 IEEE, San Diego, 2010, pp. 431-435.
- [23] O. David and D. Dursun, "Advanced Data Mining Techniques", Springer, 1st edition, 2008, pp. 138.
- [24] C.Y. Fang, C.S. Fuh and S.W. Chen, Detection and tracking of road signs, Pattern Recognit. Image Anal. 11, 2001, pp.304–308.
- [25] L. D. Lopez and O. Fuentes, "Color-Based Road Sign Detection and Tracking", Image Analysis and Recognition, Volume 4633, 2007, pp. 1138-1147