ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

Implementation of Novel Reactive Power Control Strategy for Statcom under Power Quality Condition

S.Ramya
M.Tech (Power Electronics),
SAHAJA Institute of Technology and Science for Women, Karimnagar, T.S, India.

G.Anitha
Associate Professor,
Department of Electrical Engineering,
SAHAJA Institute of Technology and Science for Women, Karimnagar, T.S, India.

Abstract:

This paper presents a complete control scheme intended for synchronous compensators operating under these abnormal network conditions. In particular, this control scheme introduces two contributions: a novel reactive current reference generator and a new voltage support control loop. As a first contribution, this paper introduces a new reactive current reference generator, which employs a current set point instead of the usual reactive power set point. The generator has as main feature the capacity to supply the required reactive current even whenthe voltage drops in amplitude during the voltage sag.

Index terms:

FACTS devices, Power Quality, Control Strategies, voltage dips.

INTRODUCTION:

Power quality determines the fitness of electrical power to consumer devices. Synchronization of the voltage frequency and phase allows electrical systems to function in their intended manner without significant loss of performance or life. The term is used to describe electric power that drives an electrical load and the load's ability to function properly. Without the proper power, an electrical device (or load) may malfunction, fail prematurely or not operate at all. There are many ways in which electric power can be of poor quality and many more causes of such poor quality power.

Centralized power generation systems are facing the twin constraints of shortage of fossil fuel and the need to reduce emissions. Long transmission lines are one of the main causes for electrical power losses. Therefore, emphasis has increased on distributed generation (DG) networks with integration of renewable energy systems into the grid, which lead to energy efficiency and reduction in emissions.Smart control devices like STATCOM (Shunt Active Power Filter),

DVR (Series Active Power Filter) and UPQC (Combination of series and shunt Active Power Filter) are the latest development of interfacing devices between distribution supply (grid) and consumer appliances to overcome voltage/current disturbances and improve the power quality by compensating the reactive and harmonic power generated or absorbed by the load. This paper deals with a technical survey on the research and development of PQ problems related to solar and wind energy integrated to the grid and the impact of poor PQ.

Reactive power compensation is an important issue in distribution system. If the reactive current increases, the system losses also increase. Various methods have been applied to mitigate voltage sags. For voltage sag mitigation we generally use capacitor banks, parallel feeders etc. But the power quality problems are not completely solved by using these devices.A STATCOM is a voltage source converter (VSC)-based power electronic device. Usually, this device is supported by short-term energy stored in a dc capacitor.

ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal



Fig 1: STATCOM equivalent circuit

The STATCOM filters load current such that it meets the specifications for utility connection. If properly utilized, this device can cancel the following:

The effect of poor load power factor such that the current drawn from the source has a near unity power factor;

• The effect of harmonic contents in loads such that current drawn from the source is sinusoidal;

• The effect of unbalanced loads such that the current drawn from the source is balanced;

• The dc offset in loads such that the current drawn from the source has no offset.

MODELING OF STATCOM:

The following features followed by STATCOM:

• This device is connected to the line as a shunt mode.

• This device is based on voltage source inverter(VSI).

• In this device there are no chances of resonance phenomenon.

• Using this device the reactive power supported to the system or bus i.e. enhances voltage profile of the system.

The power circuit topology includes a three-phaseVSC, a dc-side capacitor Cdc, and an LCL output filter. Intransmission and distribution power systems, the STATCOM isnormally connected to the point of common coupling (PCC)through a step-up transformer. Inductor Lo representsthe leakage inductance of the STATCOM transformer (themagnetizing inductance is not considered here).

The electricalnetwork is represented by grid impedance (Rg and Lg) inseries with an ac voltage source. The grid impedance physicallymodels other power system transformers as well as theline impedance. Note that the values of Rg, Lg, and vg arethe reflected quantities to the primary side of the STATCOMtransformer.The voltage at the PCC and the current at the converter sidei are sensed and supplied to the control system. This currentis preferred for control purposes instead of the current at theac network side (flowing through Lo) due to the improvementachieved in the system robustness.Focus on the STATCOM operation and performance under abnormal network conditions.



Fig 2: simulation design of STATCOM

As probably the most severecause of malfunctioning of grid-connected equipment is unbalancedvoltage sags, this is the usual source of abnormalsituations considered in these studies. Voltage sags typicallytend to deteriorate the performance of the power convertersand electrical machines connected to the ac network. Inparticular, a reduction of the power quality is noticed in thisequipment, which is caused by a ripple in the output power andan increase in the current harmonic distortion.Several controlschemes have been recently introduced to cope with theseproblems. Voltage deviations were reduced in the ac network byinjecting negative-sequence reactive power. A coordinatedcontrol that supplies both positive-sequence and negativesequencereactive power was introduced. This studyreveals that it is possible to simultaneously correct the deviationin the positive-sequence voltage and attenuate the negativesequencevoltage to a preset value.The theoreticallimits of the reactive power delivered to the ac network wereestablished in order to ensure that the maximum output currentis not exceeded during the voltage sag, thus guaranteeing a safeSTATCOM operation. The interesting results presented in this paper were extended to other reactive power controlstrategies.

ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

VOLTAGE SUPPORT CONTROL STRATEGIES:

The control system for the STATCOM should provide controlinput u in accordance to the following objectives.
1) The capacitor voltage Vdc should be regulated to the dcvoltage set point V dc. This ensures the absorption of asmall active power from the ac network necessary tocompensate for power losses.
2) The maximum current should not be exceeded. A currentset point I is employed in the control system to perform this task.
3) The PCC voltage should be regulated between set points V*max and V*min, which are the maximum and minimumvoltages at the PCC, respectively. Three control strategiesto set the values for these set points during unbalancedvoltage sags are presented and discussed.
The control consists of an externalvoltage loop, an internal current loop, and a space vectormodulator. The internal loop is a tracking regulator designedto provide fast and accurate current control. Proportional andresonant regulators are employed for this task.



Fig 3: The STATCOM control system.

The expressionsof the reference signals that fix the maximum amplitude of thephase currents to a predefined value (i.e., the set point I*) arededuced. This objective should also be reached when the phasecurrents are unbalanced. In addition, at the end of this section,the mechanism of reactive power injection of the proposedcurrent reference generator is revealed through the analysis ofthe positive- and negative-sequence reactive power.Note that the injected current could be easily limited to fixed maximum amplitude by using a standard reactive powercontrol in cascade with a current limiting block. However, inthis case, the injected current will be clipped during an overcurrent condition, resulting in an unacceptable total harmonicdistortion.

As shown in the following, the proposed currentgenerator limits the maximum amplitude to a predefined valuewithout distorting the current waveforms.As the active power is only employed to compensate forpower losses, the active current is negligible in relation to thereactive current. The aim of this section is to devise a voltage control loop thatprovides the values of the set point I* and the control gain kqaccording to the PCC voltage set points V* max and V*min. Then,by setting different values for these voltage set points, severalvoltage support control strategies can be defined. It is easy to observe that the current setpoint I* controls the reactive power delivered to the ac network.In fact, by increasing the current set point, a higher injection ofreactive power is achieved, which also increases the positivesequencevoltage at the PCC.

Therefore, this set point isemployed here to regulate the positive-sequence voltage V+to the reference (V+)*. Moreover, the control gain kq allowsa balanced injection of reactive current through positive andnegative sequences. In particular, the negative-sequence voltageat the PCC decreases, by decreasing the value of the gainkq. As a result, this control gain is used to regulate thenegative-sequence voltage V−to the reference (V-)*.According to the given discussion, the diagram of the proposedvoltage support control scheme is shown in Fig. 3. Itincludes the reactive current reference generator derived inSection III and the voltage set-point generator presented in thissection.

The value of the current set point I* is generated bya PI regulator, fed by the error between the positive-sequencevoltage and its voltage set point. In addition, a PI regulator,fed by the error between the negative-sequence voltage andits voltage set point, produces the value of the control gainkq. The outputs of these two regulators are limited by themaximum values allowed for these two variables, as shown in Fig. 3. Note that the voltage set points V*max and V*min areinputs to this control scheme. To complete the implementationof the proposed generator, however, it is necessary to derivethe expressions that relate the voltage set points V*max and V*minwith the voltage symmetrical component set points (V+)* and (V−)*. This derivation is carried out in the following. The first control strategy, known from now on as controlstrategy 1 (CS1), is devised for nominal ac voltage operationrange. In this case, the voltage regulation is ideally achieved bysetting the following voltage set points:

ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

V*max = 1.01Vnom, V*min = 0.99Vnom
A simple way to reducethe reactive current injection is to set the voltage set points tothe limits specified in grid codes for normal operation. This setting defines the second control strategy, knownas control strategy 2 (CS2), which can be formulated as

V*max =1.10Vnom, V*min =0.88Vnom
The dynamic set points for CS3can be written as
V*max = [1.10 − kp, I (Imax – I*)] Vnom,

V*min = [0.88 + kp, I (Imax – I*)] Vnom
Wherekp, I is a proportional term gain. Therefore, CS3 canbe viewed as an intermediate solution between CS1 and CS2.Note that, when the current set point I is coming near to themaximum current Imax, then CS3 voltage set points approach to CS2 set points. on the contrary, when thecurrent set point comes close to 0 A, the maximum deviationof CS3 set points is obtained. Obviously, this deviation must besaturated by the limits defined. Thus, in this situation, the CS3 strategy isapproaching to the CS1 strategy. To sum up, an adaptive voltagepositioning is proposed, which reduces thenegative-sequence voltage at the PCC by setting intermediatevoltage set points.

SIMULATION RESULTS:

The proposed STATCOM was tested with voltage sag presented. This eventhas a largesteady-state imbalance (see the time interval from 0 to 0.1 sor from 0.35 to 0.5 s) and a variable voltage profile during thetransient state (see the time interval from 0.1 to 0.35 s). For thisreason, the chosen voltage sag is a good candidate to evaluatethe performance of the proposed control solution in adversestringent network conditions.



Fig 4: simulation design of the power system, including the STATCOM

Note that the amplitude of the other phases is changing over time (always with a value lower than the specified rated current) as a result of the variable voltage sag profile. The next set of experiments was conducted to evaluate the voltage support control strategies presented. In this case, the voltage control loops were activated, providing variable values for the current set point I*and the control gain kq in accordance with the considered control strategies.

The STATCOM operation in steady state and under the voltage sag is illustrated. Three experiments were carried out by using CS1, CS2, and CS3 during the voltage sag. Note that, in steady state, the CS1 was employed in the three experiments. Excellent results were achieved in steady state, in comparison with the waveforms depicted, when the STATCOM was activated, the voltage at the PCC was inside the range defined by the CS1 voltage set points.

This fact can be clearly observed. (See, in particular, the time interval from 0 to 0.1 s.) As a consequence, the negative-sequence voltage at the PCC was nearly eliminated during steady state with a reactive current of 0.5 p.u. and a control gain kq = 0.02. This corresponds to a positive-sequence reactive power of 0.27p.u. And a negative sequence reactive power of 0.02 p.u.

The performance of the proposed control strategies during the voltage sag can be clearly. Poor results were obtained with CS1; Note that the voltage at the PCC does not track the voltage set points expressed from 0.1 to 0.35 s. In fact, this voltage is even lower than the 0.88-p.u. limit during a certain time interval. This is due to the saturation of the voltage support control loops, as can be observed.

ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal



Fig 5: Simulation wave form of DC input voltage for STATCOM



Fig 6: Simulation wave forms of V+ voltage loop and V- voltage loop



Fig 6: Simulation wave form of Valpha+, Valpha-, Vbeeta+, and Vbeeta-



Fig 7: AC network voltage and rms current for CS1



Fig 8: AC network voltage and corresponding RMS voltage for CS2.



Fig 9: AC network voltage and corresponding RMS valueCS3.

ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

CONCLUSION:

This paper presents a novel STATCOM-based controlscheme of FACTS device for power quality improvement. Three control strategies are proposedto verify the effectiveness of the control scheme under severeunbalanced voltage sags.In comparison with existingvoltage control loops based on a voltage-reactive power droopcharacteristic, the proposed control ensures an accurate voltageregulation to a predefined voltage set point provided that theSTATCOM rated power and the impedance of the ac networkare large enough.

REFERENCES:

[1] J. M. Carrasco, L. G. Franquelo, J. T. Bialasiewicz, E. Galván,R. C. Guisado, M. A. Prats, J. I. León, and N. Moreno-Alfonso, "Powerelectronicsystems for the grid integration of renewable energy sources:A survey," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 1002–1016,Jun. 2006.

[2] F. Blaabjerg, R. Teodorescu, M. Liserre, and A. V. Timbus, "Overviewof control and grid synchronization for distributed power generationsystems," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1398–1409,Oct. 2006.

[3] P. Rodrıacute;guez, A. Timbus, R. Teodorescu, M. Liserre, andF. Blaabjerg, "Independent PQ control for distributed power generationsystems under grid faults," in Proc. IEEE IECON, 2006, pp. 5185–5190.

[4] A. Luna, P. Rodrıacute;guez, R. Teodorescu, and F. Blaabjerg, "Low voltageride through strategies for SCIG wind turbines in distributed powergeneration systems," in Proc. IEEE PESC, 2008, pp. 2333–2339.

[5] P. Rodriguez, A. Timbus, R. Teodorescu, M. Liserre, and F. Blaabjerg,"Reactive power control for improving wind turbine system behaviorunder grid faults," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 24, no. 7, pp. 1798–1801, Jul. 2009.

[6] F. Wang, J. L. Duarte, and M. A. Hendrix, "Pliant active and reactivepower control for grid-interactive converters under unbalanced voltagedips," IEEE Trans. Power. Electron., vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 1511–1521,May 2011.

[7] A. Camacho, M. Castilla, J. Miret, J. Vasquez, and E. Alarcon-Gallo,"Flexible voltage support control for three phase distributed generationinverters under grid fault," IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron., vol. 60, no. 4,pp. 1429–1441, Apr. 2013.

[8] J. Martinez and P. C. Kjaer, "Fast voltage control in wind power plants,"in Proc. IEEE Power Energy Soc. Gen. Meet., 2011, pp. 1–7.

[9] Grid Code-High and Extra High Voltage, E. ON Netz GmbH, Bayreuth,Germany, 2006.

[10] M. Molinas, D. Moltoni, G. Fascendini, J. A. Suul, and T. Undeland,"Constant power loads in distributed AC systems: An investigation ofstability," in Proc. IEEE ISIE, 2008, pp. 1531–1536.