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ABSTRACT::

Web service composition is a web technology which is use for combining the information from multiple sources into single application. With the help of this web service we can collected the large amount of data. Web Service is a technique provides a special type of composition application that aims at integrating data from multiple data provider depending on user request. DaaS depend on the specified useful data can be supplied according to the user demand. The main use of DaaS is eliminating redundancy and reduces associated expenditures. It modifies the data via single update point for multiple users. This paper proposes a formal privacy model in order to extend DaaS description with privacy capabilities. DaaS composition approach allowing verifying the compatibilities between privacy requirements and policies in DaaS composition.

Index Terms:

Service composition, DaaS services, privacy, negotiation.

INTRODUCTION:

There is a growing interest in using Web services as a reliable medium for data sharing among different data providers and users. Recently, enterprises are using service oriented architecture for data sharing in Web by putting data sources behind web services instead of creating database applications. These types of web services are called as Data-providing (DP) Web services. In DP web services there is a challenge to provide a broad spectrum of enterprises the capability to exploit the data and information that is normally stored in distributed and heterogeneous information systems. Also introduces a model of web service system that integrates distributed data sources and facilitates sharing of data through web services. The web services are built on top of existing data sources and the system enables the exchange of data through services.

We also discuss service selection and query rewriting techniques for processing queries over data providing web systems. The term “Web Service” was and still is quite a buzzword. The definition ranges from the quite loose “any services that is available over the web” to the more concrete. The World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) defines a web service as the following. The World Wide Web is more and more used for application to application communication. The programmatic interfaces made available are referred to as Web services. The “Web” in web services is actually a misuse: the term “Internet Services” would be more appropriate. Web refers to Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP) and the World Wide Web, whereas the word “Internet” refers to the larger network of computers on multiple protocols. A web service can use any of these protocols to pass a message, not just HTTP. Web services have been around since at least 1999, making them a relatively new technology that has gotten lots of press and praise.

There is no secret behind web services that will instantly make everything better or work together. Web services have recently emerged as a popular medium for data publishing and sharing on the Web [8]. Modern enterprises across all spectra are moving towards a service-oriented architecture by putting their databases behind Web services, thereby providing a well-documented, platform independent and interoperable method of interacting with their data. A web service is a software function provided at a network address over the web or the cloud, it is a service that is “always on” as in the concept of utility computing. DaaS (Data-as-a-Service) Services where services correspond to calls over the data sources.

It is a cousin of software as a service. DaaS have started to be popular medium for the data publishing and sharing on the web. Most of the enterprises across all spectra are moving towards service oriented architecture by wrapping their data source in DaaS services. It is use for Business to Business (B2B) interaction.
This new type of services is known as DaaS (Data-as-a-Service) services [1] where services correspond to calls over the data sources. DaaS sits between services-based applications (i.e., SOA-based business process) and an enterprise’s heterogeneous data sources. They shield applications developers from having to directly interact with the various data sources that give access to business objects, thus enabling them to focus on the business logic only. While individual services may provide interesting formation or functionality alone in most cases, user queries require the combination of several Web services through service composition. In spite of the large body of research devoted to service composition over the last years [4]. Service composition remains a challenging task in particular regarding privacy.

In a nutshell, privacy is the right of an entity to determine when, how and to what extent it will release private information [6]. Privacy relates to numerous domains of life and has raised particular concerns in the medical field, where personal data, increasingly being released for research, can be or have been, subject to several abuses, compromising the privacy of individuals [3]. Web service composition is a web technology that combines information from more than one source into a single web application. This technique provides a special type of composition application that aims at integrating data from multiple data providers depending on the user’s request. The automatic selection, composition, and interoperability of Web services to perform some task, given a high-level description of an objective. A web service is any piece of software that makes itself available over the internet and uses a standardized XML messaging system. XML is used to encode all communications to a web service.

A negotiation mechanism that makes it possible to dynamically reconcile the privacy capabilities of services when incompatibilities arise in a composition validate the applicability of proposal through a prototype implementation and a set of experiments.

**LITERATURE SURVEY:**

The term Web service has been around since SOAP protocol was introduced in the late 1990s. With SOAP, a standard messaging format was born for exchanging messages between applications exposed to the Internet. An accompanying standard, Web Service Description Language (WSDL), made it possible to describe a list of operations exposed by a particular Web service, and associate an XML schema for operation messages. SOAP and WSDL were the first widely adopted standards geared toward interoperability between operating and technology platforms. Very soon after their introduction a slew of extended standards began to surface all with the goal of enhancing distributed and interoperable communications. The term “Web Services” is generally used to describe a collection of protocols and standards that are used to facilitate interoperability between applications. One of the major factors for their success is the fact that they are built upon existing Internet standards such as XML [3] and HTTP. This allows for high levels of scalability and interoperability that previous distributed architectures could not provide. One of the main enabling technologies for performing remote procedure calls (RPCs) using Web Services is SOAP, the Simple Object Access Protocol. SOAP is an XML-based protocol for packaging messages and facilitating RPC-style communication between clients and servers (and is capable of performing many other tasks as well). For a full description of SOAP, see [5]. SOAP’s use in STMS [8] is to provide a protocol- and platform-agnostic format for encoding objects in RPC-style communication. In 2014, Salah-Eddine and Michale Mrissa has proposed a paper “Privacy-Enhanced Web Service Composition”, they proposed a dynamic privacy model for Web Services. This model deal with the privacy at the data and operational level. This paper proposed a Negotiation approach to tackle the incompatibilities between privacy policies and the requirements. For the specific purpose privacy polices is provided for the data and operational level. Privacy policies are used only for the private data. According to the user demand the negotiation privacy policies is provided to the data. Privacy policies always reflect the usage of private data as a specifies or agreed upon by service provider [1].

**OBJECTIVE:**

Data as a Service (DaaS) builds on service-oriented technologies to enable fast access to data resources on the Web. However, this paradigm raises several new privacy concerns that traditional privacy models do not handle. In addition, DaaS composition may reveal privacy-sensitive information. In this a formal privacy model in order to extend DaaS descriptions with privacy capabilities. The privacy model allows a service to define a privacy policy and a set of privacy requirements. privacy-preserving DaaS composition approach allowing verifying the compatibility between privacy requirements and policies in DaaS composition.
In 2014 Ms.M.Sabrabeebe and Ms.C.Nancy Nightingale has proposed a paper “Protecting Web Service Composition From Privacy Attacks Using Dynamic Privacy Model” they proposed Web service composition is a web technology that combines information from more than one source into a single web application. This technique provides a special type of composition application that aims at integrating data from multiple data providers depending on the user’s request. In addition, DaaS (Data as a Service) composition may reveal privacy sensitive information. When enforcing a traditional privacy preserving model, such as privacy model and negotiation, the composed data would suffer from the problem known as the curse of privacy attacks. This paper is used to propose a new dynamic privacy model in order to extend DaaS composition with privacy capabilities and to enable fast access to data resources on the Web. This dynamic privacy model makes it possible to dynamically reconcile the privacy capabilities of services when incompatibilities arise in DaaS composition [2].

LIMITATIONS:

We argue that a compatible composition plan (regardless of the way to obtain it) is not entirely protected. Several types of attack can be carried out against composition execution TCP (where TCP being the table of the compatible CP execution) in order to re-identify published data. We need to evaluate how much information can be inferred with respect to the attacker’s knowledge. The solution we deem the most appropriate is to efficiently model the attacker’s knowledge through several dimensions with the perspective to calculating the probability for an adversary to re-identify the data contained in TCP. Our goal will be to prevent the adversary from predicting whether a target individual (contained in TCP) has a target sensitive value.

EXISTING SYSTEM:

A typical example of modeling privacy is the Platform for Privacy Preferences (P3P). However, the major focus of P3P is to enable only Web sites to convey their privacy policies. In privacy only takes into account a limited set of data fields and rights. Data providers specify how to use the service (mandatory and optional data for querying the service), while individuals specify the type of access for each part of their personal data contained in the service: free, limited, or not given using a DAML-S ontology.

DISADVANTAGES:

Two factors exacerbate the problem of privacy in DaaS. First, DaaS services collect and store a large amount of private information about users. Second, DaaS services are able to share this information with other entities. Besides, the emergence of analysis tools makes it easier to analyze and synthesize huge volumes of information, hence increasing the risk of privacy violation. In the following, we use our epidemiological scenario to illustrate the privacy challenges during service composition.

Challenge 1: Privacy Specification.
Challenge 2: Privacy within compositions.
Challenge 3: Dealing with incompatible privacy policies in compositions.

PROPOSED SYSTEM:

We describe a formal privacy model for Web Services that goes beyond traditional data-oriented models. It deals with privacy not only at the data level (i.e., inputs and outputs) but also service level (i.e., service invocation). In this paper, we build upon this model two other extensions to address privacy issues during DaaS composition. The privacy model described in this paper is based on the model initially proposed.

ADVANTAGE:

- Privacy-aware Service Composition: We propose a compatibility matching algorithm to check privacy compatibility between component services within a composition.
- Negotiating Privacy in Service Composition: In the case when any composition plan will be incompatible in terms of privacy, we introduce a novel approach based on negotiation to reach compatibility of concerned services (i.e., services that participate in a composition which are incompatible).
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IMPLEMENTATION:

**e-Epidemiological Scenario:**

The first module is E-epidemiology scenario module. We develop the scenario of E-epidemiology. E-epidemiology is the science underlying the acquisition, maintenance and application of epidemiological knowledge and information using digital media such as the internet, mobile phones, digital paper, digital TV. E-epidemiology also refers to the large-scale epidemiological studies that are increasingly conducted through distributed global collaborations enabled by the Internet. The traditional approach in performing epidemiological trials by using paper questionnaires is both costly and time consuming. The questionnaires have to be transformed to analyzable data and a large number of personnel are needed throughout the procedure. Modern communication tools, such as the web, cell phones and other current and future communication devices, allow rapidly and cost-efficient assembly of data on determinants for lifestyle and health for broad segments of the population. The mediator selects, combines and orchestrates the DaaS services (i.e., gets input from one service and uses it to call another one) to answer received queries. It also carries out all the interactions between the composed services (i.e., relays exchanged data among interconnected services in the composition). The result of the composition process is a composition plan which consists of DaaS services (i.e., gets input from one service and uses it to call another one) to answer received queries. It also carries out all the interactions between the composed services (i.e., relays exchanged data among interconnected services in the composition). The result of the composition process is a composition plan which consists of DaaS services (i.e., gets input from one service and uses it to call another one) to answer received queries. It also carries out all the interactions between the composed services (i.e., relays exchanged data among interconnected services in the composition).

Privacy Level:

In this module we define two privacy levels: data and operation. The data level deals with data privacy. Resources refer to input and output parameters of a service (e.g., defined in WSDL). The operation level copes with the privacy about operation’s invocation. Information about operation invocation may be perceived as private independently on whether their input/output parameters are confidential or not. For instance, let us consider a scientist that has found an invention about the causes of some infectious diseases, he invokes a service operation to search if such an invention is new before he files for a patent. When conducting the query, the scientist may want to keep the invocation of this operation private, perhaps to avoid part of his idea being stolen by a competing company. We give below the definition of the privacy level.

**Privacy Rule:**

The sensitivity of a resource may be defined according to several dimensions called privacy rules. We call the set of privacy rules Rules Set(RS). We define a privacy rule by a topic, domain, level and scope. The topic gives the privacy facet represented by the rule and may include for instance: the resource recipient, the purpose and the resource retention time. The “purpose” topic states the intent for which a resource collected by a service will be used; the “recipient” topic specifies to whom the collected resource can be revealed.

The level represents the privacy level on which the rule is applicable. The domain of a rule depends on its level. Indeed, each rule has one single level: “data” or “operation”. The domain is a finite set that enumerates the possible values that can be taken by resources according to the rule’s topic. For instance, a subset of domain for a rule dealing with the right topic is {“no-retention”, “limited-use”}. The scope of a rule defines the granularity of the resource that is subject to privacy constraints. Two rules at most are created for each topic: one for data and another for operations.

**Privacy-aware Service Composition:**

We propose a compatibility matching algorithm to check privacy compatibility between component services within a composition. The compatibility matching is based on the notion of privacy subsumption and on a cost model. A matching threshold is set up by services to cater for partial and total privacy compatibility. In this module we also propose an algorithm called PCM (Privacy Compatibility Matching). The first option is to require full matching and the second is partial matching.
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Negotiating Privacy in Service Composition:

In the case when any composition plan will be incompatible in terms of privacy, we introduce a novel approach based on negotiation to reach compatibility of concerned services (i.e., services that participate in a composition which are incompatible). We aim at avoiding the empty set response for user queries by allowing a service to adapt its privacy policy without any damaging impact on privacy. Negotiation strategies are specified via state diagrams and negotiation protocol is proposed to reach compatible policy for composition.

CONCLUSION:

In this work implementing a Data as a service dynamic privacy model for Web services. The model with privacy at the data and operation levels. Provide data Encryption with WCF binding. In any case, privacy policies always reflect the usage of private data as specified or agreed upon by service providers. The Web Services interface provides a standard framework for performing queries on authenticated dictionaries over the Internet. Additionally, it allows clients to spend less code dealing with the serialization, canonicalization, and communication of data by delegating those tasks to already implemented standards. This, in turn, motivates smaller, simpler clients on many different possible platforms.

In this work, presented literature review considering the area of web services supply chains and the need for QoS optimization in such supply chains. The gaps in various dimensions such as conceptual gap, QoS gap and the method gap are identified and pointed out. The current methods used, the QoS attributes considered and various other dimensions of the literature are classified and presented clearly for understanding the need for considering this new area of research. Also proposed a negotiation approach to tackle the incompatibilities between privacy policies and requirements. Although privacy cannot be carelessly negotiated as typical data, it is still possible to negotiate a part of privacy policy for specific purposes. In any case, privacy policies always reflect the usage of private data as specified or agreed upon by service providers.

FUTURE WORK:

As a future work, we aim at designing techniques for protecting the composition results from privacy attacks before the final result is returned by the mediator.
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