

A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

Stress Strain behavior of Self Curing Concrete

Pola Mamatha

Department of Civil Engineering, Aurora's Engineering College, Bhongir, Hyderabad, T.S - 508116, India.

Deepak Patil

Department of Civil Engineering, Aurora's Engineering College, Bhongir, Hyderabad, T.S - 508116, India.

A.Karthik

Department of Civil Engineering, Aurora's Engineering College, Bhongir, Hyderabad, T.S - 508116, India.

Abstract:

Appropriate Curing of concrete is keeping up dampness in the concrete amid early ages particularly inside 28 long periods of setting concrete, to create wanted properties. Curing concrete assumes a noteworthy part in building up the concrete microstructure and pore structure. Idea of self-curing is to diminish the water evaporation from concrete and consequently increment the water maintenance limit of the concrete contrasted with regular concrete. Despicable curing can without much of a stretch diminish the quality of concrete. It is discovered that water soluble polymers utilized as admixtures in concrete impacts the quality properties of concrete curing of concrete assumes a noteworthy part in building up the concrete smaller scale structure and consequently enhances its solidness and execution. Superabsorbent polymer (SAP) is utilized as inside curing operator. SAP is a gathering of polymeric material that can assimilate and hold a lot of water from their encompassing and to hold the water with their structure without dissolving. In this examination M20, M30 and M40 evaluations of self-curing concrete with blending SAP0.2, 0.40, 0.6, 0.80 and 1.0 rates are utilized. The compressive quality isn't decreased with the utilization of SAP in self curing concrete. The split rigidity isn't decreased with use of SAP in self-curing concrete. The SAP can be utilized around 0.6% on

weight of bond without trading off the different quality of concrete.

Keywords: concrete, curing, evaporation, water soluble polymers, Superabsorbent polymer (SAP), self-curing concrete

INTRODUCTION

Appropriate curing of concrete structures is essential to guarantee they meet their planned execution and solidness necessities. In traditional development, this is accomplished through outside curing, connected in the wake of blending, putting and wrapping up. Inward curing is an extremely encouraging strategy that can give extra dampness in concrete to a more successful hydration of the bond and diminished self-parching. Inward curing suggests the presentation of a curing specialist into concrete that will give this extra dampness. Right now, there are two noteworthy strategies accessible for inner curing of concrete [1]. The principal strategy utilizes immersed permeable lightweight Aggregate (LWA) [2] keeping in mind the end goal to supply an inner wellspring of water, which can supplant the water

Cite this article as: Pola Mamatha, Deepak Patil & A.Karthik, "Stress Strain behavior of Self Curing Concrete", International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research, Volume 5 Issue 8, 2018, Page 32-41.



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

devoured by substance shrinkage amid concrete hydration. The second technique utilizes super-retentive polymers (SAP) [3], as these particles can assimilate a huge amount of water amid concrete blending and shape substantial considerations containing free water, consequently counteracting self-drying up amid bond hydration for ideal execution, the inner curing operator ought to have high water ingestion limit and high water desorption rates.

Self-curing alludes to the procedure by which the hydration of bond happens on account of the accessibility of extra interior water that isn't a piece of the blending Water." Conventionally, curing concrete means making conditions to such an extent that water isn't lost from the surface i.e., curing is taken to happen 'from the outside to inside'. Conversely, 'interior curing' is taking into consideration curing 'from within to outside' through the inside supplies (as soaked lightweight fine totals, superabsorbent Polymers, or immersed wood strands) made. 'Inside curing' is regularly additionally alluded as Self- curing. The exploratory program was intended to research the quality of Self Curing of Concrete utilizing Super Absorbent Polymer (SAP)in Natural Aggregate for the review M20, M30, M40 on compressive quality, Split Tensile Strength and there stretch strain conduct [4].

The program comprised of throwing and testing an aggregate number of 54 3D shapes (18 for each review) of size 150 X150 X 150mm, 108cylinders (18 for each review) of size 150x300mm. Of these 54 3D shapes and 108 cylinders,9 3D shapes and18 barrels compare to typical curing concrete with 0% of SAP, 9cubes and 18 chambers relate to self-curing concrete with 0.2% of SAP, 9 blocks and 18 barrels compare to self-curing concrete

with 0.4% of SAP, 9 3D squares and 18 barrels compare to self-curing concrete with 0.6% of SAP, 9 solid shapes and 18 chambers compare to self-curing concrete with 0.8% of SAP,9 3D squares and 18 barrels relate to self-curing concrete with 1.0% of SAP. Each of the 54 3D shapes and 108 chambers relate to curing for28days quality.

MATERIALS USED

CONSTITUENTS	NAME OF THE MATERIAL USED	
CEMENT	ORDINARY PORTLAND CEMENT 53GRADE	
	FINE AGGREGATE	
AGGRE G A TE S	COARSE AGGREGATE	20mm
		10mm
WATER	PORTABLE WATER	
CHEMICAL ADMIXTURES	CONPLAST SP -430	
	SUPER ABSORBENT POLYMER	
CHEMIC AL	(SAP)	

MIXING

Subsequent to weighting precisely bond, sand and coarse total these have been blended to get uniform shading. Water has been added to blend and appropriate blending is guaranteed. Balling of knot development if discovered anyplace has been diminished to accomplish a homogeneous blend [5].

COMPACTION

For compacting the concrete normal techniques for mechanical vibrator, for example, table vibrator can be utilized. Table vibrator is the most reasonable as it gives legitimate propensity to adjust the totals in the example. A blend for the most part requires substantially less vibrations to move the blend and unite it into the molds. The compaction of the examples has been done on a stage vibrating table.



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

CURING

Recognizable proof imprints have been carved into the examples following 2 to 3 long periods of throwing. They are permitted to set in the molds for 24 hours after which they have been removed from the molds and drenched in crisp water for curing for a predetermined time of time(28 days). The shapes which are expected for self-curing are kept in indoor/shade at room temperature.

TESTING PROCEDURE

The testing of 3D shapes [6] and chamber examples for compressive qualities, Split elasticity and in addition stretch strain has been done at early ages of 28 days, the accompanying tests to be done in the present examination work.

- Compressive strength test,
- Split Tensile Strength test.
- Stress-strain behavior

COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH TEST

Compressive quality estimations are essential worry in the testing of plain concrete and in addition concrete with rate blend of Super Absorbent Polymer. In the above same test the most extreme burdens conveyed by every example amid test have been recorded. Compressive quality is figured by partitioning the most extreme load acquired by the cross-sectional territory [7] of the example. To get the compressive quality, normal estimations of three 3D square examples have been utilized.



Fig: Fineness Modulus of Fine Aggregate

SPLIT TENSILE STRENGTH

The Split Tensile strength of Self curing concrete has been measured by compression test as per IS: 5816-1999. The Split tensile strength for plain concrete and concrete mixed with Super Absorbent polymers from 0%-1.0% have been summarized in table.

STRESS-STRAIN BEHAVIOUR

In the present research, examples have been tried under the 2000 KN limit Pressure testing machine (CTM) [8]. This machine satisfies the whole prerequisite for Pressure testing according to Seems to be: 516-1959.

Examples put away to cure have been tried promptly on expulsion from the water, while they are in wet condition. Surface water and coarseness has been wiped off the examples. The bearing surfaces of the testing machine likewise have been wiped spotless and any free sand or other material is expelled. Chamber examples have been put halfway in the machine in such a way, to the point that the heap is connected to inverse sides of the barrel as cast that is best and base. The heap is connected in a nonstop and uniform design without stun. To get the pressure strain bends, normal estimations of three examples for each blend have been utilized.

CONCRETE MIX DESIGNATIONS

For the compressive quality, Split Tensile Strength and stress-strain bend of the Concrete blocks and barrel, the standard 150x150x150 mm cast press molds and 150mm Diameter and 300mm long cast press tube shaped molds have been utilized individually. Add up to 54 3D shapes and 108 barrels have been threw. 9 3D shapes and 18 barrels example for each Grade of blend of 28 days age have been threw.



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

The examples have been named plain concrete and 0.2%, 0.4%, 0.6%, 0.8% and 1.0% of Super Absorbent Polymer, which mean plain total and rate supplanting of bond with Super Absorbent Polymer by level of volume. Presently relying on the rate sythesis of Super Absorbent Polymer (by mass of bond) they have been assigned as: **PC:** Plain Concrete

0.2%: concrete with 0.2% of Super Absorbent Polymer 0.4%: concrete with 0.4% of Super Absorbent Polymer 0.6%: concrete with 0.6% of Super Absorbent Polymer 0.8%: concrete with 0.8% of Super Absorbent Polymer 1.0%: concrete with 1.0% of Super Absorbent Polymer

Mix proportions: As per IS 10262-2009 of quantities for 1cum of Concrete for Mixes M20, M30 and M40 grade.

Grade of	Cement in	Fine Aggregate in		
Concrete	Kg/m3	Kg/m3	aggregate in Kg/m3	Water in Ltrs
M20	342.00	585.00	1225.00	174.00
Ratio's	1	1.71	3.58	W/c 0.51
M30	381.00	712.00	1282.00	164.0
Ratio's	1	1.86	3.35	W/C 0.41
M40	410.00	725.00	1360.00	155.8
Ratio's	1	1.81	3.45	W/C 0.38

DETAILS OF MIX PRAPORTIONS

DETAILS OF SPECIMENS TO BE CASTED

Type of Mix	Compr	essive S (Cubes)	trength	Split tensile Strength (Cylinders)		Stress - Strain Behavior (Cylinders)		Behavior 's)	
	M20	M30	M40	M20	M30	M40	M20	M30	M40
Plain	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
0.2%	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
0.4%	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
0.6%	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
0.8%	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
1.0%	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Total	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
	54 Cub	es		54 Cylinders		54 Cylin	iders		
Total	54 CUI	BES		108 CYLINDERS		•			

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

- 1. Slump Cone Test.
- 2. Compaction Factor Test.
- 3. Compressive Strength.
- 4. Split Tensile Strength.
- 5. Stress-Strain Behavior.

Slump Cone test: -

Slump test is used to determine the workability of fresh concrete. Slump test as Per IS: 1199 – 1959 is followed. The apparatus used for doing slump test are slump cone And tamping rod. The results are shown in Table 5.1.

For various % of SAP for different mixes M20, M30 and M40 grade concrete [9]. The results are graphically shown in in Graph. (1), Graph. (2), Graph. (3). for various % of SAP for different mixes M20, M30 and M40 grade concrete.

Bottom	:20 cm
diameter Top	:10 cm
diameter Height	:30 m

There in increase in slump for increasing percentage of SAP for M20, M30 and M40 grades of Concrete.

Slump cone test results for various percentage of SAP of different Mixes M20, M30 and M40 grade Self curing concrete

Slump cone test results for various percentage of SAP of different Mixes M20, M30 and M40 grade Self curing concrete

	Percentage of	Slump in MM		
S No	SAP	M20	M30	M40
1	Plain	118	107	96
2	0.2%	109	106	93
3	0.4%	120	113	104
4	0.6%	124	118	108
5	0.8%	121	110	103
6	1.0%	117	107	92

Volume No: 5 (2018), Issue No: 8 (August) www.ijmetmr.com August 2018



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal





Graphical representation of slump cone test result for M30 grade concrete.



RAPH:-2



Graphical representation of Slump test Result for M40 grade concrete.

GRAPH:-3

Compaction Factor Test:-

Compacting element of crisp concrete is done to decide the functionality of new concrete by compacting factor test according to Seems to be: 1199 – 1959. The mechanical assembly utilized is Compacting factor device [10]. The points of interest test comes about are specified in Table 5.2.for different % of SAP of Self curing concrete for various blends M20, M30 and M40 grades. The outcomes are graphically appeared in Graph.(4), Graph. (5), Graph. (6). Functionality test demonstrates that the expansion in up to 0.60% super spongy polymer gives better usefulness.

Compaction factor for various percentage of SAP of different M20, M30 and M40 grade of self-curing concrete

	Percentage of	Compaction Factor		
S No	SAP	M20	M30	M40
1	Plain	0.90	0.93	0.87
2	0.2%	0.93	0.87	0.91
3	0.4%	0.85	0.84	0.85
4	0.6%	0.91	0.86	0.86
5	0.8%	0.85	0.81	0.81
6	1.0%	0.83	0.81	0.79

Graphical Representation of compaction factor Test Result for M20 grade concrete



GRAPH:-4

Graphical Representation of compaction factor Test Result for M30 grade concrete



GRAPH:-5



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

Graphical Representation of compaction factor Test Result for M40 grade concrete



Compressive strength:

The compressive quality of self-curing concrete has been estimated by pressure test according to Seems to be: 516-1959. The compressive quality for plain concrete and concrete blended with Super Absorbent polymers from 0%-1.0% have been outlined in table 5.3 the outcomes are appeared in Table 5.3 for M20 review concrete for different % of SAP included Self curing concrete. The outcomes graphically Shown in diagram 7, for M20 review concrete for different % of SAP included Self curing concrete.

There is increment of compressive quality for increment of 0.6% level of SAP and abatement of quality from 0.6% to 1.0% .The quality are expanded for including SAP without curing than the customary curing concrete

CUBE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE AT 28

DAYS CURING PERIOD FOR M20 GRADE

S.no	Percentage of SAP	Compressive strength Mpa
1	Plain	22.50
2	0.2%	23.33
3	0.4%	23.77
4	0.6%	24.12
5	0.8%	23.03
б	1.0%	22.71



The results are showed in Table 5.4 for M30 grade concrete for various % of SAP added in Self curing concrete. The results graphically Shown in graph 8, for M30 grade concrete for various % of SAP added in Self curing concrete.There is increase in strength with mixing of SAP 0.6% and decreases from 0.6%-1.0% of SAP.

CUBE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE AT 28 DAYS CURING PERIOD FOR M30 GRADE

S.no	Percentage of SAP	Compressive strength Mpa
1	Plain	34.13
2	0.2%	34.73
3	0.4%	34.92
4	0.6%	35.15
5	0.8%	33.19
6	1.0%	33.69



The results are showed in Table 5.5 for M40 grade concrete for various % of SAP added in Self curing concrete. The results graphically Shown in graph 9, for M40 grade concrete for various % of SAP added in Self



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

curing concrete. The compressive strength increasing for increasing of % of SAP compared to conventional mix.

CUBE COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE AT 28 DAYS CURING PERIOD FOR M40 GRADE

S.no	Percentage of SAP	Compressive strength Mpa
1	Plain	41.42
2	0.2%	45.18
3	0.4%	48.01
4	0.6%	50.78
5	0.8%	47.61
6	1.0%	42.30



Spilt tensile strength:

The Split elasticity of self-curing concrete has been estimated by pressure test according to Seems to be: 5816-1999. The split rigidity for plain concrete and concrete blended with Super Absorbent polymer from 0%-1.0% have been condensed in table. The split elasticity comes about are appeared in Table for M20 review concrete for different % of SAP included Self curing concrete. The Split elasticity comes about graphically appeared in diagram 10, for M20 review concrete for different % of SAP included Self curing concrete.

The split rigidity has enhanced with expansion super spongy polymer of at different rates. The concrete example with 0.60% super spongy polymer has indicated slighter increment in quality than other rate

Volume No: 5 (2018), Issue No: 8 (August) www.ijmetmr.com

SPLIT TENSILE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE FOR M20 GRADE

S.No	Percentage of SAP	Split Tensile strength Mpa
1	Plain	1.20
2	0.2%	1.23
3	0.4%	1.28
4	0.6%	1.35
5	0.8%	1.21
6	1.0%	1.12

Graphical Representation of Test Result for M20 grade concrete.



GRAPH:-10

The Split elasticity comes about are appeared in Table 5.7 for M30 review concrete for different % of SAP included Self curing concrete. The split elasticity comes about graphically Shown in chart 11, for M30 review concrete for different % of SAP included Self curing concrete.

The split rigidity has enhanced with expansion super permeable polymer of at different rates. The concrete example with 0.35 super spongy polymer has demonstrated slighter increment in quality than other rate without curing. The split elasticity isn't diminished with utilization of SAP in self-curing concrete.

SPLIT TENSILE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE FOR M30 GRADE

S.no	Percentage of SAP	Split tensile strength Mpa
1	Plain	1.81
2	0.2%	2.10
3	0.4%	2.31
4	0.6%	2.71
5	0.8%	2.35
6	1.0%	2.24

August 2018



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal



The split elasticity comes about are appeared in Table 5.8 for M40 review concrete for different % of SAP included self-curing concrete. The split elasticity Results Graphically Shown in diagram 12, for M40 review concrete for different % of SAP included Self curing concrete.

The split elasticity isn't diminished with use of SAP in self-curing concrete. Split elastic test will be expanded with use of SAP without curing contrasted ordinary concrete and curing for 28 days.

SPLIT TENSILE STRENGTH OF CONCRETE FOR M40 GRADE

S.no	Percentage of SAP	Split Tensile strength Mpa
1	Plain	4.71
2	0.2%	4.93
3	0.4%	5.10
4	0.6%	5.18
5	0.8%	4.86
б	1.0%	4.39

Graphical Representation of Test Result for M40 grade concrete.



Stress-strain behavior:

The aftereffects of stress-strain conduct self-curing concrete for M20 review concrete are said in Table 5.9 for different % of SAP. The consequences of stress-strain conduct self-curing concrete for M20 review concrete are graphically spoken to in chart 13 for different % of SAP.

The strain relating to extreme pressure (\notin p) of M20of concrete was expanding from 0.6% of SAP.

%of SAP	σu(MPa) Ulimate	€p Strain corresponding	σb(MPa) Breaking	€u Ultimate	Fck Characteristic
	Stress	Ultimate strain	Stress	Strain	strength
Plain	22	0.0018	17	0.0029	22.5
0.2%	21	0.0020	19	0.0031	23.33
0.4%	19	0.0021	17	0.0031	23.77
0.6%	17	0.0023	17	0.0032	24.12
0.8%	16	0.0024	16	0.0033	24.03
1.0%	18	0.0023	15	0.0031	22.7

Graphical representation of Stress-strain behavior for M20 grade Concrete.



GRAPH:-13

The results of stress – strain behavior self-curing concrete for M30 grade concrete are mentioned in Table 5.10 for various % of SAP. The results of stress – strain behavior self-curing concrete for M30 grade concrete are graphically represented in graph 14 for various % of SAP.

Volume No: 5 (2018), Issue No: 8 (August) www.ijmetmr.com



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

The breaking stress (σ b) after the peak stress of M20, M30, M40 grades of concrete are decreasing from 0.6% of SAP to 1.0% of SAP.

THE RESULTS OF STRESS –STRAIN BEHAVIOR OF SELFCURING CONCRETE M30 GRADE

	σu(MPa) Ultimate Stress	€p Strain corresponding Ultimate strain	σb(MPa) breaking stress	€u Ultimate Strain	fck Characteristic strength
%of SAP					
0.2%	32	0.0019	33	0.0030	34.73
0.4%	34	0.0019	31	0.0030	34.92
0.6%	36	0.0020	32	0.0031	35.15
0.8%	37	0.0021	34	0.0032	33.19
1.0%	34	0.0023	31	0.0028	33.69

Graphical representation of Stress-strain behavior for M30 grade Concrete.

Graphical representation of Stress-strain behavior for M30 grade Concrete



GRAPH:-14

CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions can be drawn from the present examination.

- The SAP can be utilized as a curing specialist for self-curing concrete.
- The compressive quality isn't decreased with the use of SAP in self-curing concrete.
- The split rigidity isn't decreased with use of SAP in self-curing concrete.

- The SAP can be utilized around 0.6% on weight of bond without trading off the different quality of concrete.
- It is watched that a definitive worry for different evaluations of concrete is somewhat decreased with is increment in the SAP.
- There is slight increment in the strain with increments in the SAP Dosages.
- Water maintenance for the concrete blends consolidating Self curing operator is higher contrasted with customary concrete.

REFERENCES

[1]. VivekHareendern, V.Poornima, G.VelRajkumar, Experimental investigation on strength aspects of internal curing concrete using Super Absorbent Polymer journal of advanced structures and Geo technical Engineering. (2014)

[2]. M.Manoj Kumar and D.Murathachalam .Experimental investigation on self-curing concrete using Super Absorbent Polymer journal of advanced structures and Geo technical Engineering. (2014)

[3]. S. Maiti, C. Shankar, P. H. Geubelle, J. Kieffer, Continuum and molecular-level modeling of atigue crack retardation in self-curing polymers, Journal of Engineering Materials and Technology.(2008)

[4]. R. S. Trask, H. R. Williams, I. P. Bond, Self-curing polymer composites: mimicking nature to enhance performance, Bioinsp. Biomim. 2 (2007)

Volume No: 5 (2018), Issue No: 8 (August) www.ijmetmr.com



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

[5]. D. S. R Murthy, S. Kanaka Durga "Performance of structural concrete with self-curing concrete", Journal of Structural Engineering, SERC, Chennai

[6]. Wen-Chen Jau, "Self-Curing Concrete", Patent Application Publication No. U.S.2008/0072799 A1 dated Mar. 27, 2008.

[7]. AkashRaoa, Kumar N. Jha B, SudhirMisra"Use of poly ethylene glycol for self-curing of concrete", Journal of Resources, Conservation and Recycling (2006),Elsevier B.V.

[8]. Khalaf FM andDeVenny Alan S. study on self-curing of concrete: ASCE J Mater Civil Eng 2004:331–40.

[9]. Ambily P.S, and Rajamane N P, "Self-Curing Concrete an Introduction", Structural Engineering Research Centre, CSIR, Chennai.

[10]. RolandTak Yong Liang, Robert Keith Sun: -"Compositions and Methods for Curing Concrete", Patent No. U.S. 6,468,344 B1 dated Oct. 22, 2002.

Author Details



Pola. Mamatha M.Tech (Structural Engineering) Aurora'S Engineering College, Bhongir, Hyderabad. mamatha.pola113@gmail.com



Mr Deepak Patil Assistant Professor Civil Engineering Department Aurora'S Engineering College, Bhongir-508116 deepakpatil.250@gmail.com



Karthik Sr. Assistant Professor Structural Engineering Aurora'S Engineering College, Bhongir karthik.369kumar@gmail.com

Volume No: 5 (2018), Issue No: 8 (August) www.ijmetmr.com

August 2018