Abstract:
Personalized web search (PWS) has demonstrated its effectiveness in improving the quality of various search services on the Internet. However, evidences show that users' reluctance to disclose their private information during search has become a major barrier for the wide proliferation of PWS. We study privacy protection in PWS applications that model user preferences as hierarchical user profiles. We propose a PWS framework called UPS that can adaptively generalize profiles by queries while respecting userspecified privacy requirements. Our runtime generalization aims at striking a balance between two predictive metrics that evaluate the utility of personalization and the privacy risk of exposing the generalized profile. We present two greedy algorithms, namely GreedyDP and GreedyIL, for runtime generalization. We also provide an online prediction mechanism for deciding whether personalizing a query is beneficial. Extensive experiments demonstrate the effectiveness of our framework. The experimental results also reveal that GreedyIL significantly outperforms GreedyDP in terms of efficiency.
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1 INTRODUCTION:
The web search engine has long become the most important portal for ordinary people looking for useful information on the web. However, users might experience failure when search engines return irrelevant results that do not meet their real intentions. Such irrelevance is largely due to the enormous variety of users' contexts and backgrounds, as well as the ambiguity of texts.

Personalized web search (PWS) is a general category of search techniques aiming at providing better search results, which are tailored for individual user needs. As the expense, user information has to be collected and analyzed to figure out the user intention behind the issued query. The solutions to PWS can generally be categorized into two types, namely click-log-based methods and profile-based ones. The click-log based methods are straightforward—they simply impose bias to clicked pages in the user's query history.

Although this strategy has been demonstrated to perform consistently and considerably well, it can only work on repeated queries from the same user, which is a strong limitation confining its applicability. In contrast, profile-based methods improve the search experience with complicated user-interest models generated from user profiling techniques. Profile-based methods can be potentially effective for almost all sorts of queries, but are reported to be unstable under some circumstances.

Although there are pros and cons for both types of PWS techniques, the profile-based PWS has demonstrated more effectiveness in improving the quality of web search recently, with increasing usage of personal and behavior information to profile its users, which is usually gathered implicitly from query history, browsing history, click-through data, bookmarks, user documents, and so forth. Unfortunately, such implicitly collected personal data can easily reveal a gamut of user's private life.

Privacy issues rising from the lack of protection for such data, for instance the AOL query logs scandal, not only raise panic among individual users, but also dampen the data-publisher's enthusiasm in offering personalized service. In fact, privacy concerns have become the major barrier for wide proliferation of PWS services.
2. MOTIVATIONS:

To protect user privacy in profile-based PWS, researchers have to consider two contradicting effects during the search process. On the one hand, they attempt to improve the search quality with the personalization utility of the user profile. On the other hand, they need to hide the privacy contents existing in the user profile to place the privacy risk under control. A few previous studies suggest that people are willing to compromise privacy if the personalization by supplying user profile to the search engine yields better search quality. In an ideal case, significant gain can be obtained by personalization at the expense of only a small (and less-sensitive) portion of the user profile, namely a generalized profile. Thus, user privacy can be protected without compromising the personalized search quality. In general, there is a tradeoff between the search quality and the level of privacy protection achieved from generalization.

3. EXISTING SYSTEM:

The existing profile-based Personalized Web Search do not support runtime profiling. A user profile is typically generalized for only once offline, and used to personalize all queries from a same user indiscriminately. Such “one profile fits all” strategy certainly has drawbacks given the variety of queries. One evidence reported is that profile-based personalization may not even help to improve the search quality for some ad hoc queries, though exposing user profile to a server has put the user’s privacy at risk. The existing methods do not take into account the customization of privacy requirements. This probably makes some user privacy to be overprotected while others insufficiently protected. For example, in, all the sensitive topics are detected using an absolute metric called surprisal based on the information theory, assuming that the interests with less user document support are more sensitive.

However, this assumption can be doubted with a simple counterexample: If a user has a large number of documents about “sex,” the surprisal of this topic may lead to a conclusion that “sex” is very general and not sensitive, despite the truth which is opposite. Unfortunately, few prior works can effectively address individual privacy needs during the generalization. Many personalization techniques require iterative user interactions.

when creating personalized search results. They usually refine the search results with some metrics which require multiple user interactions, such as rank scoring, average rank, and so on. This paradigm is, however, infeasible for runtime profiling, as it will not only pose too much risk of privacy breach, but also demand prohibitive processing time for profiling. Thus, we need predictive metrics to measure the search quality and breach risk after personalization, without incurring iterative user interaction.

4. PROPOSED SYSTEM:

We propose a privacy-preserving personalized web search framework UPS, which can generalize profiles for each query according to user-specified privacy requirements. Relying on the definition of two conflicting metrics, namely personalization utility and privacy risk, for hierarchical user profile, we formulate the problem of privacy-preserving personalized search as Risk Profile Generalization, with its NP-hardness proved. We develop two simple but effective generalization algorithms, GreedyDP and GreedyIL, to support runtime profiling. While the former tries to maximize the discriminating power (DP), the latter attempts to minimize the information loss (IL). By exploiting a number of heuristics, GreedyIL outperforms GreedyDP significantly. We provide an inexpensive mechanism for the client to decide whether to personalize a query in UPS. This decision can be made before each runtime profiling to enhance the stability of the search results while avoid the unnecessary exposure of the profile.
ADVANTAGES OF PROPOSED SYSTEM:

» It enhances the stability of the search quality.
» It avoids the unnecessary exposure of the user profile.

5. IMPLEMENTATION:

MODULES:

» Profile-Based Personalization.
» Privacy Protection in PWS System.
» Generalizing User Profile.
» Online Decision.

Profile-Based Personalization:

This paper introduces an approach to personalize digital multimedia content based on user profile information. For this, two main mechanisms were developed: a profile generator that automatically creates user profiles representing the user preferences, and a content-based recommendation algorithm that estimates the user's interest in unknown content by matching her profile to metadata descriptions of the content. Both features are integrated into a personalization system.

Privacy Protection in PWS System:

We propose a PWS framework called UPS that can generalize profiles in for each query according to user-specified privacy requirements. Two predictive metrics are proposed to evaluate the privacy breach risk and the query utility for hierarchical user profile. We develop two simple but effective generalization algorithms for user profiles allowing for query-level customization using our proposed metrics.

We also provide an online prediction mechanism based on query utility for deciding whether to personalize a query in UPS. Extensive experiments demonstrate the efficiency and effectiveness of our framework.

Generalizing User Profile:

The generalization process has to meet specific pre-requisites to handle the user profile. This is achieved by preprocessing the user profile. At first, the process initializes the user profile by taking the indicated parent user profile into account. The process adds the inherited properties to the properties of the local user profile. Thereafter the process loads the data for the foreground and the background of the map according to the described selection in the user profile. Additionally, using references enables caching and is helpful when considering an implementation in a production environment. The reference to the user profile can be used as an identifier for already processed user profiles. It allows performing the customization process once, but reusing the result multiple times. However, it has to be made sure, that an update of the user profile is also propagated to the generalization process. This requires specific update strategies, which check after a specific timeout or a specific event, if the user profile has not changed yet. Additionally, as the generalization process involves remote data services, which might be updated frequently, the cached generalization results might become outdated. Thus selecting a specific caching strategy requires careful analysis.

Online Decision:

The profile-based personalization contributes little or even reduces the search quality, while exposing the profile to a server would for sure risk the user's privacy. To address this problem, we develop an online mechanism to decide whether to personalize a query. The basic idea is straightforward. If a distinct query is identified during generalization, the entire runtime profiling will be aborted and the query will be sent to the server without a user profile.

6. CONCLUSION:

This paper presented a client-side privacy protection framework called UPS for personalized web search.
UPS could potentially be adopted by any PWS that captures user profiles in a hierarchical taxonomy. The framework allowed users to specify customized privacy requirements via the hierarchical profiles. In addition, UPS also performed online generalization on user profiles to protect the personal privacy without compromising the search quality. We proposed two greedy algorithms, namely GreedyDP and GreedyIL, for the online generalization. Our experimental results revealed that UPS could achieve quality search results while preserving user’s customized privacy requirements. The results also confirmed the effectiveness and efficiency of our solution.
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