

A Novel Multiplier Design with AHL Technique Using Verilog HDL

Attam Sruthi

**M.Tech(VLSI System Design),
Holy Mary Institute of Science and Technology,
Keesara, Hyd.**

Y.Davidsolomon Raju, M.Tech

**Associate Professor,
Department of ECE,
Holy Mary Institute of Science and Technology,
Keesara, Hyd.**

Abstract:

In this paper, we propose a new technique for implementing a high speed multiplier using adaptive hold logic and razor flip flop. The overall performance of the Digital multiplier systems depends on throughput of the multiplier. The negative bias temperature instability effect occurs when a pMOS transistor is under negative bias ($V_{gs} = -V_{dd}$), increasing the threshold voltage of a pMOS transistor and reducing the multiplier speed. Similarly, positive bias temperature instability occurs when an nMOS transistor is under positive bias. Both effects degrade the speed of the transistor and in the long term, the system may be fail due to timing violations. Therefore, it is required to design reliable high-performance multipliers. In this paper, we implement an agingaware multiplier design with a novel adaptive hold logic (AHL) circuit. The multiplier is able to provide the higher throughput through the variable latency and can adjust the adaptive hold logic (AHL) circuit to lessen performance degradation that is due to the aging effect. The proposed design can be applied to the column bypass multiplier.

Keywords:

Adaptive hold logic (AHL), negative bias temperature instability (NBTI), positive bias temperature instability(PBTI), reliable multiplier, variable latency.

1. INTRODUCTION:

Digital multipliers are among the most critical arithmetic functional units in many applications, such as the Fourier transform, discrete cosine transforms, and digital filtering. The through put of these

applications depends on multipliers, if the multipliers are too slow, the performance of entire circuits will be reduced. Furthermore, negative bias temperature instability (NBTI) occurs when a pMOS transistor is under negative bias ($V_{gs} = -V_{dd}$). In this situation, the interaction between inversion layer holes and hydrogen-passivated Si atoms breaks the Si-H bond generated during the oxidation process, generating H or H₂ molecules. When these molecules diffuse away, interface traps are left. The accumulated interface traps between silicon and the gate oxide interface result in increased threshold voltage (V_{th}), reducing the circuit switching speed. When the biased voltage is removed, the reverse reaction occurs, reducing the NBTI effect. However, the reverse reaction does not eliminate all the interface traps generated during the stress phase, and V_{th} is increased in the long term.

Hence, it is important to design a reliable highperformance multiplier. The corresponding effect on an nMOS transistor is positive bias temperature instability (PBTI), which occurs when an nMOS transistor is under positive bias. Compared with the NBTI effect, the PBTI effect is much smaller on oxide/polygate transistors, and therefore is usually ignored. However, for high-k/metal-gate nMOS transistors with significant charge trapping, the PBTI effect can no longer be ignored. In fact, it has been shown that the PBTI effect is more significant than the NBTI effect on 32-nm high-k/metal-gate processes. A traditional method to mitigate the aging effect is overdesign including such things as guard-banding and gate over sizing; however, this approach can be very pessimistic and area and power inefficient. To avoid this problem, many NBTI-aware methodologies have been proposed.

An NBTI-aware technology mapping technique was proposed into guarantee the performance of the circuit during its lifetime. In an NBTI-aware sleep transistor was designed to reduce the aging effects on pMOS sleep-transistors, and the lifetime stability of the power-gated circuits under consideration was improved. Wu and Marculescu proposed a point logic restructuring and pin reordering method, which is based on detecting functional symmetries and transistor stacking effects. They also proposed an NBTI optimization method that considered path sensitization. In dynamic voltage scaling and body-biasing techniques were proposed to reduce power or extend circuit life. These techniques, however, require circuit modification or do not provide optimization of specific circuits. Traditional circuits use critical path delay as the overall circuit clock cycle in order to perform correctly. However, the probability that the critical paths are activated is low. In most cases, the path delay is shorter than the critical path. For these noncritical paths, using the critical path delay as the overall cycle period will result in significant timing waste. Hence, the Variable-latency design was proposed to reduce the timing waste of traditional circuits.

The variable-latency design divides the circuit into two parts: 1) shorter paths and 2) longer paths. Shorter paths can execute correctly in one cycle, whereas longer paths need two cycles to execute. When shorter paths are activated frequently, the average latency of variable-latency designs is better than that of traditional designs. For example, several variable-latency adders were proposed using the speculation technique with error detection and recovery. A short path activation function algorithm was proposed into improve the accuracy of the hold logic and to optimize the performance of the variable-latency circuit. An instruction scheduling algorithm was proposed into schedule the operations on non-uniform latency functional units and improves the performance of Very Long Instruction Word processors. In a variable-latency pipelined multiplier architecture with a Booth algorithm was proposed.

In process-variation tolerant architecture for arithmetic units was proposed, where the effect of process-variation is considered to increase the circuit yield. In addition, the critical paths are divided into two shorter paths that could be unequal and the clock cycle is set to the delay of the longer one. These research designs were able to reduce the timing waste of traditional circuits to improve performance, but they did not consider the aging effect and could not adjust themselves during the runtime. A variable-latency adder design that considers the aging effect was proposed. However, no variable-latency multiplier design that considers the aging effect and can adjust dynamically has been done.

This paper has been organized in the following way, we propose an aging-aware reliable multiplier design with novel adaptive hold logic (AHL) circuit. The multiplier is based on the variable-latency technique and can adjust the AHL circuit to achieve reliable operation under the influence of NBTI and PBTI effects. To be specific, the contributions of this paper are summarized as follows: 1) novel variable latency multiplier architecture with an AHL circuit. The AHL circuit can decide whether the input patterns require one or two cycles and can adjust the judging criteria to ensure that there is minimum performance degradation after considerable aging occurs; 2) comprehensive analysis and comparison of the multiplier's performance under different cycle periods to show the effectiveness of our proposed architecture; 3) an aging-aware reliable multiplier design method that is suitable for large multipliers. 4) the experimental results show that our proposed architecture with the 4X4 multiplier.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Column-Bypassing Multiplier:

A column-bypassing multiplier is an improvement on the normal array multiplier (AM). The AM is a fast parallel AM and is shown in Fig. 1. The multiplier array consists of $(n-1)$ rows of carry save adder (CSA), in which each row contains $(n-1)$ full adder (FA) cells. Each FA in the CSA array has two outputs: 1) the sum bit goes down and 2) the carry bit goes to

the lower left FA. The last row is a ripple adder for carry propagation.




Fig. 1. 4×4 normal AM.

The FAs in the AM are always active regardless of input states. A low-power column-bypassing multiplier design is proposed in which the FA operations are disabled if the corresponding bit in the multiplicand is 0. Fig. 2 shows a 4×4 column-bypassing multiplier. Supposing the inputs are $1010 * 1111$, it can be seen that for the FAs in the first and third diagonals, two of the three input bits are 0: the carry bit from its upper right FA and the partial product $a_i b_i$. Therefore, the output of the adders in both diagonals is 0, and the output sum bit is simply equal to the third bit, which is the sum output of its upper FA. Hence, the FA is modified to add two tristate gates and one multiplexer.




Fig. 2. 4×4 column-bypassing multiplier

The multiplicand bit a_i can be used as the selector of the multiplexer to decide the output of the FA, and a_i can also be used as the selector of the tristate gate to turn off the input path of the FA. If a_i is 0, the inputs of FA are disabled, and the sum bit of the current FA is

equal to the sum bit from its upper FA, thus reducing the power consumption of the multiplier. If a_i is 1, the normal sum result is selected.

B. Row-Bypassing Multiplier:

A low-power row-bypassing multiplier is also proposed to reduce the activity power of the AM. The operation of the low-power row-bypassing multiplier is similar to that of the low-power column-bypassing multiplier, but the selector of the multiplexers and the tristate gates use the multiplier. Fig. 3 is a 4×4 row-bypassing multiplier. Each input is connected to an FA through a tristate gate. When the inputs are $1111 * 1001$, the two inputs in the first and second rows are 0 for FAs. Because b_1 is 0, the multiplexers in the first row select $a_i b_0$ as the sum bit and select 0 as the carry bit. The inputs are bypassed to FAs in the second rows, and the tristate gates turn off the input paths to the FAs. Therefore, no switching activities occur in the first-row FAs; in return, power consumption is reduced. Similarly, because b_2 is 0, no switching activities will occur in the second-row FAs. However, the FAs must be active in the third row because the b_3 is not zero.




Fig. 3. 4×4 row-bypassing multiplier

C. Variable-Latency Design:

The variable-latency design was proposed to reduce the timing waste occurring in traditional

Circuits that use the critical path cycle as an execution cycle period cycles as shown in Fig.4.. The basic concept is to execute a shorter path using a shorter cycle and longer path using two cycles. Since most paths execute in a cycle period that is much smaller than the critical path delay, the variable-latency design has smaller average latency. Fig.4 is an 8-bit variable-latency ripple carry adder (RCA). A_8-A_1, B_8-B_1 is 8-bit inputs, and S_8-S_1 are the outputs. Supposing the delay for each full adder is one, and the maximum delay for the adder is 8. Through simulation, it can be determined that the possibility of the carry propagation delay being longer than 5 is low. Hence, the cycle period is set to 5, and hold logic is added to notify the system whether the adder can complete the operation within a cycle period.




Fig.4 8-bit RCA with a hold logic circuit.

III PROPOSED RELIABLE MULTIPLIER

A. Proposed model:

The multiplier architecture, which includes two m -bit inputs (m is a positive number), one $2m$ -bit output, one column- or row-bypassing multiplier, $2m$ 1-bit Razor flip-flops and an AHL circuit as shown in Fig.5. The column- and row-bypassing multipliers can be examined by the number of zeros in either the multiplicand or multiplier to predict whether the operation requires one cycle or two cycles to complete. When input patterns are random, the number of zeros and ones in the multiplier and multiplicand follows a normal distribution.

Therefore using the number of zeros or ones as the judging criteria results in similar outcomes. Hence, the two multipliers can be implemented using similar architecture, and the difference between the two bypassing multipliers lies in the input signals of the AHL. According to the bypassing selection in the column or rowbypassing multiplier, the input signal of the AHL in the architecture with the column-bypassing multiplier is the multiplicand, whereas that of the row-bypassing multiplier is the multiplier.




Fig.5 Multiplier design with Adaptive hold logic

B. Razor Flip Flop:

Razor flip-flops can be used to detect whether timing violations occur before the next input pattern arrives as shown in Fig.6. A 1-bit Razor flip-flop contains a main flip-flop, shadow latch, XOR gate, and multiplexer. The main flip-flop catches the execution result for the combination circuit using a normal clock signal, and the shadow latch catches the execution result using a delayed clock signal, which is slower than the normal clock signal. If the latched bit of the shadow latch is different from that of the main flip-flop, this means the path delay of the current operation exceeds the cycle period, and the main flip-flop catches an incorrect result. If errors occur, the Razor flip-flop will set the error signal to 1 to notify the system to reexecute the operation and notify the AHL circuit that an error has occurred.




Fig.6 Razor Flip Flop

C.Adaptive Hold Logic:

The AHL circuit is the key component in variable-latency multiplier. Fig.7 shows the details of the AHL circuit. The AHL circuit contains an aging indicator, two judging blocks, one multiplexer, and one D flip-flop. The aging indicator indicates whether the circuit has suffered significant performance degradation due to the aging effect. When input patterns arrive, the column- or row-bypassing multiplier, and the AHL circuit execute simultaneously.




Fig.7 Adaptive Hold Logic

According to the number of zeros in the multiplicand (multiplier), the AHL circuit decides if the input patterns require one or two cycles. If the input pattern requires two cycles to complete, the AHL will output 0 to disable the clock signal of the flip-flops. Otherwise, the AHL will output 1 for normal operations. When the column- or row-bypassing multiplier finishes the operation, the result will be passed to the Razor flip-flops.

IV.SIMULATION RESULTS:

The below figure shows the Block diagram of the aging-aware multiplier.




Fig8: Block diagram of the aging-aware multiplier

The below figure shows the RTL Schematic of the 4 x 4 aging-aware multiplier.




Fig9: RTL Schematic of the aging-aware multiplier

The below figure shows the Technology Schematic of the 4x 4 aging-aware multiplier.




Fig9: Technology Schematic of the aging-aware multiplier.

The below figure shows the Simulation output waveform of the 4 x 4 aging-aware multiplier.




Fig10: Simulation output waveform of the aging-aware multiplier.

V. CONCLUSION:

This paper proposed an aging-aware variable latency multiplier design with the AHL. The multiplier is able to adjust the AHL to mitigate performance degradation due to increased delay. Our proposed variable latency multipliers have less performance degradation because variable latency multipliers have less timing waste, but traditional multipliers need to consider the degradation caused by the BTI effect use the worst case delay as the cycle period. The experimental results show that our proposed architecture with 4x4 multiplication with CLA as last stage instead of Normal RCA adder it will decrease the delay and improve the performance compared with previous designs.

REFERENCES:

[1] Y. Cao. (2013). Predictive Technology Model (PTM) and NBTI Model [Online]. Available <http://www.eas.asu.edu/ptm>

[2] S. Zafar, A. Kumar, E. Gusev, and E. Cartier, “Threshold voltage instabilities in high-k gate dielectric stacks IEEE tranDeviceMater Rel., vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 45–64, Mar. 2005.

[3] K. Du, P. Varman, and K. Mohanram, “High performance reliable variable latency carry select addition,” in Proc. 2012, pp. 1257–1262.

[4] J.sudha rani and R.N.S.Kalpana , “ Design of Low Power Column bypass Multiplier using FPGA” International Journal Of Computational Engineering Research (ijceronline.com) Vol. 3 Issue. 2

[5] Sharvari San and Tantarपाले, “Low-Cost Low-Power Improved Bypassing-Based Multiplier” IJCSCE Special issue on “Recent Advances in Engineering & Technology” NCRAET- 2013