ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

Multi –Hop Wireless Network Aware Routing in Spatial Reusability

Thrisha Ansu
M.Tech Student
Gokul Institute of Technology & Sciences
Piridi, Vizianagaram, Bobbili, Andhra Pradesh.

G Bhagya Lakshmi
Assistant Professor
Gokul Institute of Technology & Sciences
Piridi, Vizianagaram, Bobbili, Andhra Pradesh.

ABSTRACT:

In the problem of routing in multi-hop wireless networks, to achieve high end-to-end throughput, it is crucial to find the "best"path from the source node to the destination node. Although a large number of routing protocols have been proposed to find the pathwith minimum total transmission count/time for delivering a single packet, such transmission count/time minimizing protocols cannot beguaranteed to achieve maximum end-to-end throughput. In this paper, we argue that by carefully considering spatial reusability of thewireless communication media, we can tremendously improve the end-to-end throughput in multi-hop wireless networks. To supportour argument, we propose spatial reusability-aware single-path routing (SASR) and anypath routing (SAAR) protocols, and comparethem with existing single-path routing and anypath routing protocols, respectively. Our evaluation results show that our protocolssignificantly improve the end-to-end throughput compared with existing protocols. Specifically, for single-path routing, the medianthroughput gain is up to 60 percent, and for each source-destination pair, the throughput gain is as high as 5:3_; for anypath routing,the maximum per-flow throughput gain is 71.6 percent, while the median gain is up to 13.2 percent.

EXISTING SYSTEM:

- Most of existing routing protocols, no matter single path routing protocols or any path routing protocols, rely on link-quality aware routing metrics, such as link transmission count-based metrics and link transmission time-based metrics (e.g., ETT and EATT). They simply select the (any) path that minimizes the overall transmission counts or transmission time for delivering a packet.
- Zhang et al. formulated joint routing and scheduling into an optimization problem, and solved the problem with a column generation method.
- Pan et al. dealt with the joint problem in cognitive radio networks considering the vacancy of licensed bands.
- Jones et al. implemented k-tuple network coding and proved throughput optimality of their policy.

DISADVANTAGES OF EXISTING SYSTEM:

- A fundamental problem with existing wireless routing protocols is that minimizing the overall number (or time) of transmissions to deliver a single packet from a source node to a destination node does not necessarily maximize the end-to-end throughput.
- Most of the existing routing protocols do not take spatial reusability of the wireless communication media into account.
- They need centralized control to realize MAC-layer scheduling, and to eliminate transmissioncontention.

ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

PROPOSED SYSTEM:

- In this paper, we investigate two kinds of routing protocols, including single-path routing and anypath routing. The task of a single-path routing protocol is to select a cost minimizing path, along which the packets are delivered from the source node to the destination node.
- In this primer work, we argue that by carefully considering spatial reusability of the wireless communication media, we can tremendously improve the end-to-end throughput in Multihop wireless networks.
- The algorithms proposed in this work do not require any scheduling, and the SASR algorithms can beimplemented in a distributed manner.

ADVANTAGES OF PROPOSED SYSTEM:

- To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to explicitly consider spatial reusability of the wireless communication media in routing, and design practical spatial reusability-aware single-path routing (SASR) and anypath routing (SAAR) protocols.
- We formulate the problem of spatial reusability aware single-path routing as a binary program, and propose two complementary categories of algorithms for path selection. While one category (SASR-MIN and SASR-FF) tends to exploit the best performance of the paths, the other category (SASR-MAX) evaluates the performance of the paths in the worst case.
- We further investigate the spectrum spatial reusability in any path routing, and propose SAAR algorithm for participating node selection, cost calculation, and forwarding list determination.
- We have evaluated SASR algorithms and SAAR algorithm with different data rates.
- The evaluation results show that our algorithms significantly improve the end-to-end throughput compared with existing ones.
- Specifically, for single-path routing, a throughput gain up with a median of more than 60 percent is achieved in the case of single-flow, and an average gain of more than 20 percent is achieved with multiple flows; for anypath routing, a median gain of 13:2 percent and the maximum gain up to 71:6 percent can be realized.

SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE:



MODULES:

- System Construction Module
- Cost Minimizing
- Shortest path
- Cost Maximizing Fusion

MODULES DESCRIPTION:

System Construction Module

We consider a static multi-hop wireless network with a setof N nodes. For clarity, we assume that the nodes use thesame transmission rate, and do not employ any powercontrol scheme in this work.

Since wireless signal fades in the process of propagation,two wireless (hyper-links) can work simultaneously,if they are spatially far away enough from each other. Wedefine non-interfering set I, in which any pair of (hyper-)links are out of the interference range of each other, i.e.,the (hyper-)links in the same non-interfering set can workat the same time.

ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

Cost Minimizing:

In this module is used to users for minimizing the cost of file transferring process from sender to recover. Path cost minimizing collection reflects the best possible performance of the path. SASR algorithm calculates the spatial reusability aware path cost of it. Then, the path with the smallest cost can be selected.

In a spatial reusability-aware path cost evaluation for single-path routing a given each of the paths found by an existing source routing protocol (e.g., DSR), our SASR algorithm calculates the spatial reusability aware path cost of it. Then, the path with the smallest cost can be selected.

In a Spatial Reusability-Aware Single-Path Routing we propose the First-Fit Algorithm for Min-Cost Fusion all the maximal non-interfering set on path P needs time, which is still inefficient when the path P is long. Therefore, we propose a first-fit algorithm, namely SASR-FF, which can achieve good performance in most of the cases.

In a Spatial Reusability-Aware AnypathRoutingwe present the spatial reusability-aware anypath routing algorithm. Since finding the minimized end to-end cost considering the spatial reusability is NP-hard, our algorithm SAAR is designed to calculate a suboptimal route, which can achieve superior performance to existing anypath routing protocols in most of the cases.

Shortest path:

In this module is used for choose a shortest path in spatial reusabilityaware single-path routing as a binary program and propose two complementary categories of algorithms for path selection.
SASR-MINtends to exploit the best performance of the paths, the other category (SASR-MAX) evaluates the performance of the paths in the worst case. Given each of the paths found by an existing source routing protocol (e.g., DSR, our SASR algorithm calculates the spatial reusability aware path cost of it. Then, the path with the smallest cost can be selected.

Here we use approximation algorithm for finding the path delivery time minimizing collection of non-interfering sets, namely SASRMIN algorithm, when the collection of all the maximal non interfering sets on path P can be calculated efficiently.

Cost Maximizing Fusion:

In this module is used for finding a maximizing path of cost.It helps to avoid maximizing path.It the path cost maximizing collection indicates how bad the path can be in the worst case.

The cost maximizing collection of non-interfering sets is just the inverse version of the cost minimizing fusion, we can design a similar approximation algorithm as that in previous section, by iteratively picking the least cost-effective maximal non interfering set.

Cost maximizing fusion does not show superior performance to cost minimizing fusion, we mainly use it as a benchmark or reference in path selection. So in this work, we only consider the pseudo-polynomial time approximation algorithm SASR-MAX, and do not investigate its corresponding fully polynomial greedy algorithm.

SCREEN SHOTS



ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

















ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal















ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal













ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal













ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal







CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have demonstrated that we can significantly improve the end-to-end throughput in multi-hop wireless networks, by carefully considering spatial reusability of the wireless communication media. We have presented two protocols, SASR and SAAR, for spatial reusability-aware single-path routing and anypath routing, respectively. We have also implemented our protocols, and compared them with existing routing protocols with the data rates of 11 and 54 Mbps. Evaluation results show that SASR and SAAR algorithms can achieve more significant end-to-end throughput gains under higher data rates. For the case of single-flow, SASR achieves a throughput gain of as high as 5:3_ under 54 Mbps, while for SAAR, the maximum gain can reach 71:6 percent. Furthermore, in multi-flow case, SASR can also improve the per-flow average throughputs by more than 20 percent. Meanwhile, the tremendous throughput gains only require acceptable additional transmission overheads. The extra transmission overheads of route request are less than 10 percent in our evaluation. In 80 percent cases, the overall transmission counts are increased by no more than two with SASR, while for SAAR, most of the increments are below As for the future work, one direction is to further explore opportunities to improve the performance of our routing algorithms by analyzing special underperforming cases identified in the evaluation. Another direction is to

ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

investigate inter-flow spatial reusability, and to optimize system wide performance.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Adya, P. Bahl, J. Padhye, A. Wolman, and L. Zhou, "A multiradiounification protocol for IEEE 802.11 wireless networks," inProc. 1st Int. Conf. Broadband Netw., 2004, pp. 344–354.

[2] S. Biswas and R. Morris, "Exor: Opportunistic multi-hop routingfor wireless networks," in Proc. SIGCOMM Conf. Appl., Technol.,Archit., Protocols Comput. Commun., 2005, pp. 133–144.

[3] J. Broch, D. A. Maltz, D. B. Johnson, Y.-C.Hu, and J. G. Jetcheva,"A performance comparison of multi-hop wireless ad hoc networkrouting protocols," in Proc. 4th Annu.ACM/IEEE Int. Conf.Mobile Comput.Netw., 1998, pp. 85–97.

[4] S. Chachulski, M. Jennings, S. Katti, and D. Katabi, "Trading structurefor randomness in wireless opportunistic routing," in Proc.SIGCOMM Conf. Appl., Technol., Archit. Protocols Comput. Commun.,2007, pp. 169–180.

[5] R. Cohen, and S. Havlin, (2003, Feb.). Scale-free networks areultrasmall. Phys. Rev. Lett. [Online] 90, p. 058701.
Available:http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.90.058701

[6] D. S. J. D. Couto, D. Aguayo, J. C. Bicket, and R. Morris, "A highthroughputpath metric for multi-hop wireless routing," in Proc.9th Annu. Int. Conf. Mobile Comput.Netw., 2003, pp. 134–146.

[7] R. Draves, J. Padhye, and B. Zill, "Routing in multi-radio, Multihopwireless mesh networks," in Proc. 10th Annu. Int. Conf. MobileComput.Netw., 2004, pp. 114–128.

[8] W. Hu, J. Xie, and Z. Zhang, "Practical opportunistic routingin high-speed multi-rate wireless mesh networks," in Proc.14th ACM Int. Symp.Mobile Ad Hoc Netw.Comput., 2013,pp. 127–136.

[9] G. Jakllari, S. Eidenbenz, N. W. Hengartner, S. V. Krishnamurthy,and M. Faloutsos, "Link positions matter: A noncommutativerouting metric for wireless mesh network," in Proc. IEEE 27thConf. Comput. Commun., 2008, pp. 744–752.

[10] D. B. Johnson and D. A. Maltz, "Dynamic source routing in adhoc wireless networks," Mobile Comput., vol. 353, pp. 153–181,1996.

[11] N. M. Jones, B. Shrader, and E. Modiano, "Optimal routing andscheduling for a simple network coding scheme," in Proc. INFOCOM,2012, pp. 352–360.

[12] T.-S. Kim, J. C. Hou, and H. Lim, "Improving spatial reusethrough tuning transmit power, carrier sense threshold, and datarate in multihop wireless networks," in Proc. 12th Annu. Int. Conf.Mobile Comput.Netw., 2006, pp. 366–377.

[13] R. P. Laufer, H. Dubois-Ferri_ere, and L. Kleinrock, "Multirateanypathrouting in wireless mesh networks," in Proc. INFOCOM, 2009,pp. 37–45.

[14] Y. Lin, B. Li, and B. Liang, "Codeor: Opportunistic routing inwireless mesh networks with segmented network coding," inProc. IEEE Int. Conf. Netw. Protocols, 2008, pp. 13–22.

[15] J. Padhye, S. Agarwal, V. N. Padmanabhan, L. Qiu, A. Rao, andB. Zill, "Estimation of link interference in static multi-hop wirelessnetworks," in Proc. Internet Meas. Conf., 2005, p. 28.

[16] M. Pan, C. Zhang, P. Li, and Y. Fang, "Joint routing and linkscheduling for cognitive radio networks under uncertain spectrumsupply," in Proc. INFOCOM, 2011, pp. 2237–2245.

[17] C. E. Perkins and E. M. Belding-Royer, "Ad-hoc on-demand distancevector routing," in Proc. 2nd IEEE

ISSN No: 2348-4845
International Journal & Magazine of Engineering, Technology, Management and Research
A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

Workshop Mobile Comput.Syst. Appl., 1999, pp. 90–100.

[18] C. E. Perkins and P. Bhagwat, "Highly dynamic destinationsequenceddistance-vector routing (DSDV) for mobile computers,"in Proc. Conf. Commun. Archit., Protocols Appl., 1994,pp. 234–244.

[19] B. Radunovic, C. Gkantsidis, P. B. Key, and P. Rodriguez, "Anoptimization framework for opportunistic multipath routing inwireless mesh networks," in Proc. IEEE 27th Conf. Comput. Commun.,2008, pp. 241–245.

[20] H. Rahul, H. Hassanieh, and D. Katabi, "Sourcesync: A distributedwireless architecture for exploiting sender diversity,"in Proc. SIGCOMM, 2010, pp. 171–182.

[21] K. N. Ramachandran, E. M. Belding, K. C. Almeroth, and M. M.Buddhikot, "Interference-aware channel assignment in multiradiowireless mesh networks," in Proc. 25th IEEE Int. Conf. Comput.Commun., 2006, pp. 1–12.

[22] C. Reis, R. Mahajan, M. Rodrig, D. Wetherall, and J. Zahorjan,"Measurement-based models of delivery and interference in staticwireless networks," in Proc. Conf. Appl., Technol., Archit. ProtocolsComput. Commun., 2006, pp. 51–62.

[23] E. Rozner, M. K. Han, L. Qiu, and Y. Zhang, "Model-driven optimizationof opportunistic routing," IEEE/ACM Trans. Netw.,vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 594–609, Apr. 2013.

[24] E. Rozner, J. Seshadri, Y. A. Mehta, and L. Qiu, "SOAR: Simpleopportunistic adaptive routing protocol for wireless meshnetworks," IEEE Trans. Mobile Comput., vol. 8, no. 12,pp. 1622–1635, Dec. 2009.

[25] E. Tomita, A. Tanaka, and H. Takahashi, "The worst-case timecomplexity for generating all maximal cliques and computationalexperiments," Theor.Comput.Sci., vol. 363, no. 1, pp. 28–42, 2006.

[26] V. V. Vazirani, Approximation Algorithms. New York, NY, USA:Springer, 2001.

[27] Y. Yang and J. Wang, "Design guidelines for routing metrics inmultihop wireless networks," in Proc. IEEE 27th Conf. Comput.Commun., 2008, pp. 2288–2296.

[28] H. Zhai and Y. Fang, "Physical carrier sensing and spatial reuse inmultirate and multihop wireless ad hoc networks," in Proc. IEEE25th IEEE Int. Conf. Comput.Commun., 2006, pp. 1–12.

[29] J. Zhang, H. Wu, Q. Zhang, and B. Li, "Joint routing and schedulingin multi-radio multi-channel multi-hop wireless networks,"in Proc. BROADNETS, 2005, pp. 678–687.

[30] S. Zhao, L. Fu, X. Wang, and Q. Zhang, "Fundamental relationshipbetween nodedensity and delay in wireless ad hoc networkswith unreliable links," in Proc. 17th Annu. Int. Conf. Mobile Comput.Netw., 2011, pp. 337–348.

[31] X. Zhao, J. Guo, C. T. Chou, A. Misra, and S. Jha, "A high-throughputrouting metric for reliable multicast in multi-rate wirelessmesh networks," in Proc. INFOCOM, 2011, pp. 2042–2050.

[32] Z. Zhong, J. Wang, S. Nelakuditi, and G.-H.Lu, "On selection ofcandidates for opportunistic anypath forwarding," Mobile Comput.Commun.Rev., vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1–2, 2006.

[33] X. Zhou, Z. Zhang, G. Wang, X. Yu, B. Y. Zhao, and H. Zheng,"Practical conflict graphs for dynamic spectrum distribution," inProc. SIGMETRICS Int. Conf. Meas. ModelingComput. Syst., 2013,pp. 5–16.