

A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

Impact Analysis of Front Frame Car Bumper

B.Vinod Kumar Reddy

Asst.Professor Department of Mechanical Engineering Mallareddy College of Engineering

ABSTRACT

Bumpers play an important role in preventing the impact energy from being transferred to the automotive and a passenger, saving impact energy on the bumper to be released in the environment reduces the damages of the automotive and passengers.

The new design considers on reducing the amount of material use and also eliminating the process involve in manufacture the bumper for example eliminating the grille attachment. The goal of this project is to design a bumper with minimum weight by employing the composite materials (just like glass fibre epoxy materials). This bumper either absorbs deformation or transfers it perpendicular to the impact direction. To reach this aim, a mechanism is designed to convert above 80% of kinetic impact energy is to the spring potential energy. In addition, since the residual kinetic energy will be damped with infinitesimal elastic deformation of the bumper elements, the passengers will not sense any impact, it should be noted in this project modeling, solving and results are analysis are done in a ANSYS software respectively.

The suitable material that can be used as the bumper in terms of economical but still maintaining the toughness is Carbon Fiber composite which is not expensive compare to the best material from the analysis Aluminum alloy, Mild steel(chromium Coated).

Keywords: Bumper, Cati A, Ansys,

Introduction

One of the inevitable consequences of the use of automobiles is that from time to time accidents will cause damage to a vehicle's body. Over the years, many new materials have been introduced to the car Chagantipati Sridevi

P.G Student Department of Mechanical Engineering Mallareddy College of Engineering

body. Composite car bumper also implied the same problem which the material will be discussed further in this project.

Objectives

The objectives of this project are:

- (a)To design a composite car bumper by using CATIA.
- (b)To perform an impact analysis of composite car bumper by using Ansys
- (c)To propose a suitable composite material for the car bumper.

Scope of the research.

This project has been confined to five relatively simple aspects which they are:

- (b) Literature study on composite car bumper (the fascia bumper)
- (c) Implementing knowledge of software (CATIA) in design.
- (d) Learning and exploring in a way to use Ansys software
- (e) Perform an Structure and Thermal analysis of bumper material by using Ansys software.
- (f) Purposing a suitable composite material for commercial front bumper.

Theory of composite material Definition

Composite materials or simply composites are combinations of materials. They are made up of combining two or more materials in such a way that the resulting materials have certain design properties or improved properties. The composite materials have the following properties.

- High specific strength
- High specific stiffness
- More thermal stability



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

- More corrosion and wear resistance
- High fatigue life

CLASSIFICATION OF COMPOSITE MATERIALS

Based upon the reinforcements, the composite materials are classified as fiber reinforced composite, filled composite, flake composite, particulate composites and laminates. The fiber composites can be further classified as whisker composites and continuous fiber composites. Based upon the matrix, composite materials are classified as metal matrix composites, polymer matrix composites and ceramic matrix composites.

Application of Loads

The calculation of the load is gi	ven	
<u>as follows.</u>		
<u>1) Input data</u> :		
Mass of the car	=1554 kg	
Average mass of 5 persons	=350 kg	
Total mass	=1554+350	
	=1894 kg	
Speed of the car	=36 km/hour	
	=10 m/s.	
Assume this car is hitting at an	other identical one a	nd
it will stop in 0.1 seconds		
Deceleration of the car	=(u+v) / t	
= (10	-0)/0.1	
	$= 100 \text{ m/s}^2$	
v= final velocity of car in m/s, u	a = initial velocity of	car
in m/s, t=time after which vehic	le stopped inseconds.	
Force acted during colli	ision =m*	∗a
C		
=1894*100		
= 189.4 KN		
m = mass of car in kg , $a = accel$	eration of car in m/s^2	
Area of the front face of	f bumper =l*ł	5
=2317.75*641.096 mm ²		
$=1.4859m^{2}$		
Pressure acted on the bumper	=F/A	
=189400/1.4859		
$=127.46*10^{3}$ N/m ²		

F= Force acted during collision in Newtons, A = Area of the front face of bumper in m^2 .

Aluminium B390 alloy Material Properties Table -2

Density		2.7	1e-006 kg	mm^-3	
Isotropic Elasticity					
Tomporatur	Young's	Do	isson'	Bulk	Shear
	Modulu	ru c	POISSOI	Modulu	Modulu
ec	s MPa	s Ratio		s MPa	s MPa
	81300	0).33	79706	30564

Tensile Yield Strength

Tensile Yield Strength MPa

2.5e-004

Mild steel Material Properties

Mild steel (chromium coated) Material Properties Table -3

Density		7.	.8e-006 kg n	nm^-3	
Isotropic Elasticity					
Temperatu	Young's	Poi	sson'	Bulk	Shear
renperatu	Modulu		Datio	Modulus	Modulu
lec	s MPa	51	Xatio	MPa	s MPa
	2.1e+00	0	13	1.75e+00	80760
	5	U		5	00/09

Tensile Yield Strength

Tensile Yield Strength MPa

3.e-004

Carbon fiber composite Material Properties Table-

4

Density 1.6e-006 kg mm^-3

Isotropic Elasticity

Tommonotum	Young's	Deissen	Bulk	Shear
Temperatur	Modulu	s Ratio	Modulu	Modulu
eC	s MPa		s MPa	s MPa
	85000	0.15	40476	36957



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

Aluminium B390 alloy Material Properties Table -5

Density	2.71e-006 kg mm^-3
Thermal Conductivity	0.3 W mm^-1 C^-1
Specific Heat	4.8e+005 mJ kg^-1 C^-1

Isotropic Elasticity

Tomporatur	Young's	Doisson'	Bulk	Shear
Temperatur	Modulu		Modulu	Modulu
eC	s MPa	s Ratio	s MPa	s MPa
	81300	0.33	79706	30564

Tensile Yield Strength

_	
	Tensile Yield Strength M
	2.5e-004

Mild steel Material Properties

Mild steel (chromium coated) Material Properties Table-6

Density	7.8e-006 kg mm^-3
Thermal Conductivity	0.3 W mm^-1 C^-1
Specific Heat	4.8e+005 mJ kg^-1 C^-1

Isotropic Elasticity

Temperatu re C	Young's Modulu s MPa	Poisson' s Ratio	Bulk Modulus MPa	Shear Modulu s MPa
	2.1e+00 5	0.3	1.75e+00 5	80769

Tensile Yield Strength

Tensile Yield Strength MPa	
3.e-004	

Carbon fiber composite Material Properties Table-

7

Density			1.0	6e-006 kg r	nm^-3
Specific Heat			1.836	e+005 mJ k 1	.g^-1 C^-
Thermal Conductivity			0.3	3 W mm^-	1 C^-1
Isotropic Elasticity					
Temperatur	Young's	Po	isson'	Bulk	Shear

Temperatur	Young's	Poisson'	Bulk	Shear
e C	Modulu	s Ratio	Modulu	Modulu

Volume No: 2 (2015), Issue No: 11 (November) www.ijmetmr.com

s MPa		s MPa	s MPa
85000	0.15	40476	36957

Tensile Yield Strength

Tensile Yield Strength MPa	
3.e-004	



Dimension of the bumper

Statistics				
Nodes	114048			
Elements	71946			
Mesh Metric	None			





A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal



Modeling of bumper with CATI A

Total Deformation and stress ,strain with alluminium alloy Material











Total Deformation and stress ,strain with Carbon Fiber composite Material





Temperature is applied on the outer surface of the bumper. Temperature applied is 45.° on the bumper. Total Heat Flux ,directional Heat flux With Alluminium alloy



November 2015



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

Temperature is applied on the outer surface of the bumper. Temperature applied is 45. $^\circ$ on the bumper. Total Heat Flux,directional Heat flux With Mild steel(Chromimum)Coated Material



Temperature is applied on the outer surface of the bumper. Temperature applied is 45. $^{\circ}$ on the bumper. Total Heat Flux, directional Heat flux With Composite Fiber composite Material



BUMPER / RESULTS	Mild steel (chromium coated)		Aluminium B390 alloy		Carbon fiber composite	
	Minimu m	Maximum	Minimu m	Maximum	Minim um	Maximu m
Total Deformatio n mm	0	40.866	0	1.05x10 ⁵	0	98774
Equivalent Stress M pa	0	39459	0	3.8216x10 ⁷	0	3.8281x1 0 ⁷
Equivalent Elastic Strain mm/mm	0	0.20143	0	522.45	0	489.17

BUMPER	Mild steel (chromium coated)		<u>Aluminium</u> B390 alloy		Carbon fiber composite	
RESULTS	Minimu m	Maximum	Minimu m	Maximu m	Minimu m	Maximu m
Temperatu re °C	45	45	45	45	45	45
Total Heat Flux W/mm ²	1.7793e- 017	4.5675e- 012	1.7793e- 017	5.5372e- 005	1.9572e- 017	2.7727e- 012
Directional Heat Flux W/mm²	- 1.8888e- 012	1.5632e- 012	- 3.4348e- 005	3.2271e- 005	2.0698e- 012	1.7204e- 012

CONCLUSION

In order to design the front bumper, the following factors are to be considered

- 1. Material having high yield strength high modulus of elasticity is to be considered.
- 2. Deformation of the bumper material during low speed mode is not considered.
- 3. Maximum deformation during the high speed is considered while designing that to within the acceptable limit.
- 4. Tensile yield stress consider of the material is less than maximum stress of the bumper

From the above study it can conclude that for carbon fiber composite is better option compare to Mild steel and alluminium alloy

Future scope

The project is applicable to aluminum alloy, Mild steel (chromium coated) and carbon Fiber composite. Carbon Fiber composite is more useful better than two materials, but cost is high. Normally carbon Fiber composite is used for sports cars for less damage. The project is done based on the impact analysis on front frame car bumper. If sudden impact load is applied, relatively the collisions are less in the vehicles.



A Peer Reviewed Open Access International Journal

BIBLOGRAPHY

1. Hosseinzadeh RM, Shokrieh M, and Lessard LB, "Parametric study od automotive composite bumper beams subjected to low-velocity impacts", J. CompositeStuct., 68 (2005):419-427.

2. Marzbanrad JM, Alijanpour M, and Kiasat MS, "Designand analysis of automotive bumper beam in low speed frontal crashesh", Thin Walled Struct., 47 (2009): 902-911.

http://www.nhtsa.dot.gov/cars/testing/procedures/TP-581-01.pdf.

3. Andersson R, Schedin E, Magnusson C, Ocklund J, "TheApplicability of Stainless

Steel for Crash AbsorbingComponents", SAE Technical Paper, 2002. Butler M, Wycech J, Parfitt J, and Tan E, "UsingTerocore Brand Structural Foam to Improve Bumper Beam Design", SAE Technical Paper, 2002.

4. Carley ME, Sharma AK, Mallela V, "Advancements inexpanded polypropylene foam

energy management for bumper systems", SAE Technical Paper, 2004. Evans D and Morgan T, "Engineering ThermoplasticEnergy for Bumpers", SAE Paper, 1999.

5. Witteman WJ, "Improved Vehicle CrashworthinessDesign by Control of the Energy Absorption for DifferentCollision Situations", Doctoral dissertation, EindhovenUniversity of Technology, 2000.

6. Masoumi A, Mohammad Hassan Shojaeefard, Amir Najibi, "Comparison of steel, aluminum and composite bonnet in terms of pedestrian head impact"College of Engineering, University of Tehran, Tehran,Iran, 2011: 1371–1380.