Design and Fatigue Analysis of Lug Joint on Landing Gear
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Abstract:  
The current work includes the design and analysis of a medium size transport aircraft landing gear unit. A typical landing load case will be assumed for which structural analysis will be carried out. During landing, there will be three different types of loads  
- Vertical load  
- Drag load  
- Inde load  

Each of these loads will cause axial compression, bending and torsion on the strut of the landing gear. As a first approximation the landing gear space structure will be idealized as a statically determinate structure and a stress analysis will be performed using strength of Material approach. The stresses developed because of all three types of loading on the structural members of the landing gear will be calculated.

A finite element model of the landing gear structure will be developed and analyzed. The FEA stress and deformation results will be compared with that obtained from “SOM” approach. These stresses and internal loads can then be used for the design of various structural members of the landing gear unit. Fatigue life to crack initiation will be estimated for a critical lug of the landing gear unit by considering the constant amplitude landing cycles.

Landing gear design will develop in CAD software like CATIA V5 and analyze in ANSYS14.5 software.
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INTRODUCTION  
An aircraft is a machine which is used for good air transport system. It is used to travel one place to another place (long or short distance) in a short period of time and it can able to carry high load i.e., in commercial aircraft passengers, cargo, flight crew, fuel tank, scientific instruments or equipment., in military aircraft warheads, bombs etc., Landing gear is the most important component of the aircraft. It can able to carry the whole weight of an aircraft at the time of takeoff, landing and taxing. Many types of landing gears are used. There are single, main, tricycles, quadricycle, tricycle, tail gear, multi bogey, releasable rail and skid. In most of the commercial aircraft, tricycle landing gear is used. It can be retractable or fixed. In modern aircraft to minimize the drag, retractable landing gear is used. Tri cycle landing gear has one nose landing gear and two main landing gears. In landing gear, lug joint is the most important structure. Lug is the structural member which can able to absorb high impact load at the time of takeoff and landing. And then the load is transvers through other components or members. So, the design of the lug joint is very much important. When design the lug joint of the main land gear, considered takeoff configuration. While takeoff, total weight of the aircraft is carried by the main landing gear [11].

Fig.1. Different configurations of different landing gear arrangements
MATHEMATICAL APPROACH

Load calculation

Let us considering the light weight passenger aircraft of 6 to 9 seating capacity. The parameters used in calculation are mentions below [8] [10] [11] [13],

\( W = 6 \times 200 = 1200 \text{ kg} \)
\( L = 9 \times 200 = 1800 \text{ kg} \)
\( m = \frac{L}{c} = \frac{1800}{200} = 9 \text{ kg} \)

\( A = \frac{W}{c} = \frac{1200}{200} = 6 \text{ kg} \)

\( P = 2 \times 634 = 1268 \text{ kW} \)

\( D = \frac{W}{c} = \frac{1200}{200} = 6 \text{ kg} \)

\( C = \frac{W}{c} = \frac{1200}{200} = 6 \text{ kg} \)

\( S = \frac{W}{c} = \frac{1200}{200} = 6 \text{ kg} \)
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Material Selection

The material of lug joint must be carefully selected. So that it can able to withstand for high applied load. Thus there are several materials can be used for manufacturing the lug joint. Considered the strength and weight is very much important. The strength must be high and weight must be less to reduce dead weight of the aircraft during fly [5]. Here Aluminum Alloy is considered to design the Lug joint. Selection of material depends upon [4] [5].

- stiffness
- strength
- durability
- damage tolerance
- Corrosion.

Al 7075-T6 has high strength, lower fracture toughness. Used for tension application where fatigue is not critical. It also has low short transverse properties and low stress corrosion resistance [1].

**TABLE I. ULTIMATE AND YIELD STRENGTH OF MATERIAL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Ultimate Stress (σu)</th>
<th>Yield Stress (σy)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al T6.7075</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>503</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dimension of lug calculation

Before calculate the dimensions of the lug considered, factor of safety of the lug. Design of lug can able to withstand not only the desired load. It can able to withstand beyond the expected load or actual load. The system is purposefully built much stronger than the needed for normal usage to withstand emergency situations.
Generally, in aircraft design, the factor of safety ranges between 1 and 2 [1]. Therefore, considered factor of safety is 1.5 times the applied load. i.e., FOS = 1.5. So, vertical load is applied on the main wheel is,

\[ F_{YM} = FOS \times F \]  
\[ F_{YM} = 40950 \text{N or} 47000 \text{N} \]

Material used: Al T6 7075  
Here, design is based on yield stress [1][13],

\[ \sigma_{YM} = \left( \frac{\pi d^2}{2} \right) \frac{P}{2} \]  
\[ d = 8 \text{mm} \]

Bearing stress is calculated by,

\[ \sigma_{bearing} = \frac{P}{D \times t} \]  
\[ D = 24 \text{mm} \]
\[ b = f \text{ and } h = 2d \text{ from the paper,} \]
\[ h = 16 \text{mm} \]

**GEOMETRICAL CONFIGURATION**

Final dimensions of Lug joint, \( d = 8 \text{mm} \), \( t = b = 24 \text{mm} \), \( h = 16 \text{mm} \)

Lug modeled by using design software has been shown in figure 3 and 4.

**FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF LUG ATTACHMENT**

In this project FEA tool is used as the pre-processing and post-processing purpose. The pre-processing includes building the geometric model by importing lug and generating mesh, giving the correct material properties, and setting loading conditions. Analysis is done in Fatigue analysis solver. The analysis stage simply solves for the deformation, safety factor, stress, and fatigue life. In the post processing stage, the results are evaluated and displayed. The accuracy of these results is postulated during the post processing task. Special care is to be taken for meshing at the region around the hole of lug.

---

**Fig.3. 2D view of lug**

**Fig.4. 3D view of lug**

**Fig.5. Meshed lug**
Fatigue analysis

Fatigue is the structural damage occurs when material is subjected in the cyclic load. Two type of the fatigue are there. There are high fatigue and low fatigue. High fatigue is the low stress which is lower than the yield strength of the material is acting in a longer period of time. Fatigue strength is about 103 to 107 cycles. Low fatigue is the high load which is higher than the yield strength of the material is acting in a short period of time. Fatigue strength is about less than 103 cycles. A stress in the structure is compared to the fatigue limit of the material.

Fatigue limit of the material is calculated by finding alternating stress with respect to number of cycle [2],

\[ S_a = 1.62S_y \left( \frac{N_f}{10^6} \right)^{-0.085} \]

TABLE II. ALTERNATING STRESS FOR AL T6 7075

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( N_f )</th>
<th>MPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>551</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0E-05</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0E-06</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0E-07</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0E-08</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0E-09</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0E-10</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In SN curve, any loading condition which is above the curve is unsafe, which is below the curve is safe. Keep the loading conditions lower than the endurance limit of the material. So, it can never fails due to fatigue and it can run infinite number of cycles. If the loading condition exceeds the endurance limit at the time load is coincided or above the SN curve. So, fatigue failure will occur to the corresponding cycle.

Stress distribution

The stress distribution for the given loads has been observed and the stress is distributed uniformly over the lug structure. Maximum stresses are developed nearer to the hole of lug section which is shown in figure 7. The magnitude of maximum principal stress developed here is 293.72MPa. The structure is safe because the stress magnitude which was obtained from the analysis is less than the yield strength of the structural material.

Fatigue life

Fatigue life is defined as the number of stress cycles of a specified character that a specimen sustains before failure.

Three types of life are there. There are safe life, fail life and infinite life. In safe life, within the life duration there will be no damage occurs. After that the...
structure must be replaced. In fail safe, if there is any damage occurs within the life period no need to replace the component. Remaining members are able to carry the load. After the end of life period the structure must be replaced. If infinite life, designed stress always below the fatigue limit. So the part can be subjected to many millions of cycles.

Deformation of the lug under fatigue loading condition is shown in figure 9. Here, deformation maximum at the region near the hole of lug. The deformation is found to be 0.037mm only. It is very small value compared to the dimension of lug. Also, the applied load is less than the yield strength of the material. So, in this condition lug can able to regain in its original shape without any fail. Thus the design is safe.

**CONCLUSION**

This journal work presents a computational model for the fatigue analysis of the lug. The dimensions of the proposed model are obtained by the strength of material approach and the stress analysis and the fatigue life is estimated. For this estimation finite element analysis tool is used. Stress analysis of the lug is carried out and maximum stress is identified around the hole of lug which is found out to be lower than yield strength of the material. So, the lug design is safe. The fatigue analysis is carried out to predict the structural life of the lug. Life of the lug is 1.8*10^7 cycles. Before this limit the structure of the lug is safe and need to check for damage after 1.8*10^7cycles and replace it.

In the future work damage tolerance, crack initiation, crack propagation and structural failure evaluation can be carried out. As well as lug optimization can also be carried out to meet the appropriate factor of safety of the lug in the main landing gear. Experimental approach can also be carried out.
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